

ИНСТИТУТ ГОСУДАРСТВА И ПРАВА
РОССИЙСКОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК

THE INSTITUTE OF STATE AND LAW
RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

**ТРУДЫ
ИНСТИТУТА ГОСУДАРСТВА
И ПРАВА РАН**

2018. Том 13. № 2



**PROCEEDINGS
OF THE INSTITUTE OF STATE
AND LAW OF THE RAS**

2018. Volume 13. No. 2

Москва

«Труды Института государства и права РАН / Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS» — мультиязычное научное периодическое издание, где публикуются результаты фундаментальных теоретических и прикладных исследований в сфере государства и права. Издается с 2006 г.

Выходит шесть раз в год.

Материалы публикуются на русском, английском, французском, немецком, испанском и итальянском языках.

Журнал зарегистрирован в Федеральной службе по надзору в сфере связи, информационных технологий и массовых коммуникаций (Свидетельство о регистрации средства массовой информации ПИ № ФС77-70200 от 21 июня 2017 г.). Включен в каталог агентств «Роспечать» и «Урал-пресс» (подписной индекс — 86119).

Входит в перечень рецензируемых научных изданий, в которых должны быть опубликованы основные научные результаты диссертаций на соискание ученой степени кандидата наук, на соискание ученой степени доктора наук по направлению «Юриспруденция». Включен в Российский индекс научного цитирования (РИНЦ). Размещен в E-library, Cyberleninka, СПС «ГАРАНТ», WorldCat.

Адрес редакции:

119019, Москва, ул. Знаменка, д. 10

e-mail: trudy@igpran.ru

Тел.: +7 (495) 691-87-81

Сайт: <http://igpran.ru/trudy>

Тираж: 300 экземпляров. Заказ №

Отпечатано в ППП «Типография «Наука»

121099, Шубинский пер., д. 6

Корректор *О.В. Мехоношина*

Подготовка иностранного текста *А.А. Каневский*

«Труды Института государства и права РАН / Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS» — a multilingual academic periodical for the coverage of the results of fundamental and applied enquiries in the field of State and law.

The Journal launched by the Institute in 2006 is published bimonthly (six times a year).

Manuscripts are accepted in Russian, English, German, French, Spanish or Italian.

The Journal has been registered as a mass media (registration certificate No. ФС77-70200 of 21 June 2017). It is included into the Press of Russia Agency Catalogue “Newspapers. Magazines”, subscription index — 86119. It is also available through the East View Information Services and “Ural-Press” Agency.

The Journal is recommended by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation for publication of scientific results of doctorate dissertations. It is indexed/abstracted in Russian Science Citation Index (RSCI), Scientific Electronic Library, Cyberleninka, Legal Information System “Garant”, WorldCat.

Address of the Editorial Board:

10, Znamenka st., Moscow, 119019

e-mail: trudy@igpran.ru

Russian Federation

Web: <http://igpran.ru/en/proceedings.php>

Phone: +7 (495) 691-87-81

Circulation: 300 copies

Printers proof reader *Ol'ga V. Mehonoshina*

English texts preparation *Anton A. Kanevskiy*

РЕДАКЦИОННАЯ КОЛЛЕГИЯ

Савенков Александр Николаевич
(главный редактор)

Институт государства и права РАН
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Васильева Татьяна Андреевна
(заместитель главного редактора)

Институт государства и права РАН
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Варламова Наталия Владимировна
(ответственный секретарь)

Институт государства и права РАН
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Антокольская Мария

Амстердамский свободный
университет
(Амстердам, Нидерланды)

Антонов Михаил Валерьевич

Национальный исследовательский
университет Высшая школа экономики
в Санкт-Петербурге
(Санкт-Петербург,
Российская Федерация)

Бауринг Билл

Биркбек-колледж, Лондонский
университет
(Лондон, Великобритания)

Видра Дорис

Университет им. Париса Лодрона
(Зальцбург, Австрия)

Грачева Елена Юрьевна

Московский государственный
юридический университет
им. О.Е. Кутафина
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Дождев Дмитрий Вадимович

Московская высшая школа
социальных и экономических наук
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

EDITORIAL BOARD

Alexander N. Savenkov
(Editor-in-Chief)

Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Tatiana A. Vasilieva
(Deputy Editor-in-Chief)

Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Natalia V. Varlamova
(Executive Secretary)

Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Masha V. Antokolskaia

VU University Amsterdam
(Amsterdam, the Netherlands)

Mikhail V. Antonov

Higher School of Economics
National Research University,
Campus in Saint Petersburg
(Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation)

Bill Bowring,

School of law
Birkbeck, University of London
(London, United Kingdom)

Doris Wydra

University of Salzburg
(Salzburg, Austria)

Elena Yu. Gracheva

Kutafin Moscow State Law University
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Dmitry V. Dozhdev

Moscow School of Social
and Economic Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Кленова Татьяна Владимировна
Самарский национальный
исследовательский университет
им. академика С.П. Королева
(Самара, Российская Федерация)

Мелкевик Бьярн
Университет Лавала
(Квебек, Канада)

Полубинская Светлана Вениаминовна
Институт государства и права РАН
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Саликов Марат Сабирьянович
Уральский государственный
юридический университет
(Екатеринбург, Российская Федерация)

Соболева Анита Карловна
Национальный исследовательский
университет Высшая школа экономики
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Солан Лоуренс
Бруклинская школа права
(Бруклин, США)

Трунк Александр
Кильский университет
им. Христиана Альбрехта
(Киль, Германия)

Тимошина Елена Владимировна
Санкт-Петербургский
государственный университет
(Санкт-Петербург,
Российская Федерация)

Фиттипальди Эдоардо
Миланский государственный
университет
(Милан, Италия)

Ширвиндт Андрей Михайлович
МГУ им. М.В. Ломоносова
(Москва, Российская Федерация)

Tatiana V. Klenova
Korolev Samara National Research
University
(Samara, Russian Federation)

Bjarne Melkevik,
University of Laval
(Quebec, Canada)

Svetlana V. Polubinskaya
Institute of State and Law,
Russian Academy of Sciences
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Marat S. Salikov
Ural State Law University
(Yekaterinburg, Russian Federation)

Anita K. Soboleva
Higher School of Economics National
Research University
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

Lawrence Solan
Brooklyn Law School
(Brooklyn, USA)

Alexander Trunk
Kiel University
(Kiel, Germany)

Elena V. Timoshina
Saint Petersburg State University
(Saint Petersburg,
Russian Federation)

Edoardo Fittipaldi
State University of Milan
(Milan, Italy)

Andrey M. Shirvindt
Lomonosov Moscow State University
(Moscow, Russian Federation)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LAW AND MODERN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Yuri M. Baturin and Svetlana V. Polubinskaya

What Makes Virtual Crimes to Be Real 9

Oleg A. Yastrebov

Legal Capacity of Electronic Person: Theoretical
and Methodological Approaches. 36

LEGAL PHILOSOPHY AND METHODOLOGY OF JURISPRUDENCE

Dmitry Yu. Poldnikov

Recent Applications of Functional Method in Comparative
European Legal History 56

Danil A. Aleshin

The Ideological Orientation of the Non-Classical Legal
Discourse 78

HISTORY OF LEGAL CONCEPTS AND INSTITUTIONS

Natalia M. Zolotukhina

Medieval Russian Thinkers on Truth, Law, Justice,
Verity, and Grace 102

URGENT PRIVATE LAW PROBLEMS

Dmitry V. Dozhdev

Adaptation and Rescission of Contract Due to the Fundamental Change of
the Circumstances: European Civilian Tradition
and Modern Trends (Ending) 143

PROBLEMS OF JUSTICE AND COURT PRACTICE

Maria M. Gotra

The Admissibility of Third Parties Participation in Bankruptcy Proceedings
173

FEDERALISM AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Alexander I. Cherkasov

Direct and Participatory Democracy as the Means of Citizen's Involvement
into the Local Decision-making 190

REVIEWS AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

Veniamin E. Chirkin

Review: Cherepanov, V.A. Problemy rossiiskoi gosudarstvennosti. Opyt sistemnogo issledovaniya [Problems of the Russian Statehood. Systematic Research Experience]. Moscow: NORMA; INFRA-M, 2018. 336 p. 216

YURI M. BATURIN

Supreme State Audit School (Faculty), Lomonosov Moscow State University
1—13, Leninskie Gory, Moscow 119992, Russian Federation

E-mail: baturin@ihst.ru

ORCID: 0000-0003-1481-5369

SVETLANA V. POLUBINSKAYA

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences
10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation

E-mail: svepol@yandex.ru

ORCID: 0000-0002-2469-2502

WHAT MAKES VIRTUAL CRIMES TO BE REAL

Abstract. Massively Multiplayer Online Role-playing Games (MMORPG), which represent artificial universes, in fact, modified «reflections» of the real world, simulators of life, were presented in the mid-1990s. In online worlds, people through their avatars (virtual characters) live and work, study and teach, organize parties and get married, engage in creativity and business, and of course commit crimes. An example is the «world» Second Life, accessible via the Internet and located on a large array of servers that are owned and maintained by Linden Lab. The Second Life's space consists of continents owned by the developer, and several thousand private islands.

In the Second Life environment, users have the ability to perform quite a variety of activities. To create commodity-money relations in the Second Life, the developers passed the function of creating 3D objects to users, and also established a virtual currency and made it convertible into real world money. This allows players and even entire companies to lead a successful business in the Second Life world and generate revenue.

To regulate virtual worlds, their developers and owners establish rules for their participants, which are typically contained in End-user license agreement or EULA, Terms of Service or Terms of Use and other documents.

The literature widely addresses the possibilities of applying the rules of the law of the real, physical world to objects created and existing in virtual worlds. It is, first of all, the legal regime of property, including intellectual property. The use of criminal law in cases of crimes committed by the inhabitants of virtual worlds is also discussed. But what is considered a crime if it is committed in a virtual world, and under what conditions can there be a real criminal liability? The authors offer their answers to these questions.

The article describes crimes within Massively Multiplayer Online Worlds (MMOW). The aim of the article is to draw attention to gaps in law regulating of MMOW's participants conduct. It is shown that experimental jurisprudence may be developed while virtual law is created. The authors also come to the conclusions that: 1) virtual worlds need internal rules and regulations; and 2) MMOW is appropriate platform for testing law of real world.

Keywords: massively multiplayer online role-playing games; Second Life; avatar; end-user license agreement; terms of service; terms of use; program code; virtual worlds; property; intellectual property; criminal law; virtual crimes

REFERENCES

Baturin, Yu.M. (2009). Ostrov svobody pressy (O sozdanii virtual'noy ekspertnoy mnogorolevoy igry dlya otrabotkin proekta zakona o SMI) [The Island of Freedom of the Press (On Creation of Expert Multiplayer Game for the Testing of Draft Media Law)]. *Pravovye i eticheskie aspekty zhurnalistiki. Ezhegodnik 2008* [Legal and Ethical Aspects of Journalism. The Yearbook 2008]. Moscow: Fakul'tet zhurnalistiki MGU imeni M.V. Lomonosova, pp. 106—114 (in Russ.).

Baturin, Yu.M. (2017). Pravonarusheniya v onlainovykh vseleennykh i eksperimental'naya yurisprudentsiya [Offences Within Online Universes and Experimental Jurisprudence]. In: V.I. Krasikov, ed. *Materialy mezhdunarodnoy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii "Bezopasnost', konflikt i bor'ba s ekstremizmom v informatsionnom prostranstve Interneta: pravovye aspekty* [Materials of International Scientific and Practical Conference "Security, Conflicts and Fighting Extremism in the Internet Information Space: Legal Aspects"], Moscow: "Vodoley", pp. 27—35 (in Russ.).

Savelyev, A. I. (2014). Pravovaya priroda virtual'nykh ob'ektov, priobretennykh za real'nye den'gi v mnogopol'zovatel'skikh igrakh [Legal Nature of Virtual Objects Purchased for Real Money in Multiplayer Online Games]. *Vestnik grazhdanskogo prava* [Civil Law Review], 14(1), pp. 127—150 (in Russ.).

Balkin, J.M. (2004). Virtual Liberty: Freedom to Design and Freedom to Play in Virtual Worlds. *Virginia Law Review*, 90(8), pp. 2043—2098. DOI: 10.2307/1515641

Brenner, S.W. (2008). Fantasy Crime: The Role of Criminal Law in Virtual Worlds. *Vanderbilt Journal of Entertainment and Technology Law*, 11(1), pp. 1—98.

Burge, T. (2010). *Origins of Objectivity*. Oxford; New York: Clarendon Press. DOI:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199581405.001.0001

Fairfield, J.A.T. (2005). Virtual Property. *Boston University Law Review*, 85(4), pp. 1047—1102.

Gerstner, E. (2017). Losing the Digital Shirt off Your Back: Applying the Unlawful Internet Gambling Enforcement Act to Virtual Property. *William & Mary Business Law Review*, 9(1), pp. 321—350.

Grimmelmann, J. (2005). Regulation by Software. *The Yale Law Journal*, 114(7), pp. 1719—1758.

Jankowich, A.E. (2005). Property and Democracy in Virtual Worlds. *Boston University Journal of Science & Technology Law*, 11(2), pp. 173—220.

Jankowich, A. E. (2006). EULaw: The Complex Web of Corporate Rule-Making in Virtual Worlds. *Tulane Journal of Technology and Intellectual Property*, 8, pp. 1—60.

Kerr, O.S. (2008). Criminal Law in Virtual Worlds. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, (1), pp. 415—430.

Lastowka, G.F. and Hunter, D. (2004). The Laws of the Virtual Worlds. *California Law Review*, 92(1), pp. 1—74. DOI: 10.15779/Z386H7P

Lastowka, G.F. and Hunter, D. (2004). Virtual Crimes. *New York Law School*

Law Review, 49(1), pp. 293—316.

LaFave, W.R. (2010). *Criminal Law*. 5th ed. Minneapolis-Saint Paul: Thomson Reuters.

Mayer-Schönberger, V. and Crowley, J. (2006). Napster's Second Life?: The Regulatory Challenges of Virtual Worlds. *Northwestern University Law Review*, 100(4), pp. 1775—1826.

Moses, L. B. (2008). The Applicability of Property Law in New Context: From Cells to Cyberspace. *Sydney Law Review*, 30(4), pp. 639—662.

Ondrejka, C. (2004). Escaping the Gilded Cage: User Created Content and Building the Metaverse. *New York Law School Law Review*, 49(1), pp. 81—102.

Ormerod, D. (2005). *Smith & Hogan Criminal Law*. 11th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Robinson, P.H. and Grall, J.A. (1983). Element Analysis in Defining Criminal Liability: The Model Penal Code and Beyond. *Stanford Law Review*, 35(4), pp. 681—762. DOI: 10.2307/1228658

Zack, J.S. (2007). The Ultimate Company Town: Wading in the Digital Marsh of Second Life. *Journal of Constitutional Law*, 10(1), pp. 225—256.

Zyda, M. (2005). From Visual Simulation to Virtual Reality to Games. *Compute*, 38(9), pp. 25-32. DOI: 10.1109/MC.2005.297

AUTHORS' INFO:

Yuri M. Baturin — Member-Correspondent of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Doctor of Legal Sciences, Professor of Lomonosov Moscow State University, Head of the Computer Law and Information Security Chair, Supreme State Audit School (Faculty), Lomonosov Moscow State University.

Svetlana V. Polubinskaya — Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor, Leading Research Fellow of the Criminal Law, Criminology and Problems of Justice Department, Institute of State and Law, the Russian Academy of Sciences

CITATION:

Baturin, Yu.M. and Polubinskaya, S.V. (2018). What Makes Virtual Crimes to Be Real (2018). *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(2), pp. 9—35.

OLEG A. YASTREBOV

Law Institute, RUDN University

6, Miklukho-Maklaya str., Moscow 117198, Russian Federation

E-mail: rudnoleg@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0003-4943-6940

THE LEGAL CAPACITY OF ELECTRONIC PERSONS: THEORETICAL AND METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES

Abstract. The relevance of theoretical studies on the electronic persons as an innovation is due to the active use of digital technologies and robotics in virtually all spheres of public life, an increase in the number of related ethical and legal problems, and also a fairly high probability that artificial intelligence can make decisions, the logic of which is not always understood by humans.

The formation of a fundamentally new tool for legal regulation is determined by legal specifics of electronic persons, which complicates the understanding of legally significant behavior. An electronic person who has legal duties and subjective rights is, in fact, a set of duties and rights, and the content of legal rights and obligations are the actions of artificial intelligence. The latter can be interpreted as a complex set of communicative and technological interrelations, the result of human activity, able to think logically, manage its actions and correct its decisions in the event of a change in external conditions.

Rights and duties of electronic persons can be determined in accordance with the model, based on the theory of H. Kelsen. From the standpoint of his «pure doctrine of law», an electronic person can be treated as a personified unity of the rules of law, which oblige and authorize an artificial intelligence that has the criteria of «reasonableness». An electronic person can perform a number of functions that correspond to the goals of a particular AI developer. It appears acting, because it is charged with the duty that this person can perform or violate. In this regard, the question arises who will be responsible for the failure to perform this duty — the very electronic person or developer of artificial intelligence. So, at present in Russia responsibility for the illegal consequences of the operation of industrial robots are borne by their owners, producers or operators.

By analogy with legal entities, electronic ones are capable of realizing their legal personality provided they are recognized at the legislative level as subjects of law acting on an equal basis with other participants in public relations. Certain preconditions for the recognition of electronic persons were laid down in precedents relating to non-human subjects of law or to the protection of the rights of robots.

Keywords: information, digital reality, artificial intelligence, electronic person, robot, legal personality, objective liability, risk management

REFERENCES

Barton, J.H. (1975). Behind the Legal Explosion. *Stanford Law Review*, 27(3), pp. 567—584.

Beljaev, M.A. (2016). Model' razvitiya prava: ot evolyutsii k «vzryvu» [Model of Legal Progress: from “Evolution” to “Explosion”]. *Vestnik Rossiiskogo universiteta druzhby narodov. Seriya: Yuridicheskie nauki* [RUDN Journal of Law], (3),

pp. 9—20. (in Russ.).

Breazeal, C. (2003). Emotion and Sociable Humanoid Robots. *International Journal Human-Computer Studies*, 59(1—2), pp. 119—155. DOI:10.1016/S1071-5819(03)00018-1

Brentano, F. (1973). *Psychology from an Empirical Standpoint*. Translated from German by A.C. Rancurello, D.B. Terrell and L.L. McAlister. London; New York: Routledge and Kegan Paul; Humanities Press. [Germ. ed.: Brentano, F. (1924). *Psychologie vom empirischen Standpunkt: mit neuen Abhandlungen aus dem Nachlass. Von der Klassifikation der psychischen Phänomene*. Leipzig: F. Meiner].

Calo, R. (2015). Robotics and the Lessons of Cyberlaw. *California Law Review*, 103(3), pp. 513—563.

Copeland, J. (1993). *Artificial Intelligence: A Philosophical Introduction*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers Ltd.

Datsyuk, S.A. (2008). *Teoriya virtual'nosti* [Theory of Virtuality] [e-book] Kiev. Available at: <http://www.uis.kiev.ua/xyz/tv/tv-book.htm> [Accessed 13 October 2017]. (in Russ.).

Dennett, D.C. (1991). *Consciousness Explained*. Boston: Little, Brown and Co.

Elkhov, G.A. (2014). Iskustvennyi intellekt i problema modelirovaniya ego samosoznaniya [Artificial Intelligence and the Problem of Modeling its Self-Awareness]. *Molodoi uchenyi* [Young Scientist], (16), pp. 200—202. (in Russ.).

Elkhova, O.I. (2011) *Ontologicheskoe sodержanie virtual'noi real'nosti* [The Ontological Content of Virtual Reality]. The Doctorate in Philosophy Thesis. Ufa: Bashkir State University. (in Russ.).

Gorokhov, D.B. and Gorokhova, Y.V. (2016). Zhivotnye i rasteniya — sub'ekty prava po zakonodatel'stvu Rossiiskoi Federatsii: konstatatsiya absurda ili nauchnoe otkrytie? [Animals and Plants as Legal Personalities according to the Legislation of the Russian Federation: the Statement of Absurdity or the Scientific Discovery?]. *Advokat* [Lawyer], (11), pp. 5—20. (in Russ.).

Gusev, S.S. (2016). Iskustvennyi intellekt kak otrazhenie deistvitel'nosti v XXI veke [Artificial Intelligence as a Reflection of Reality in the Twenty-first Century]. *Interaktivnaya nauka* [Interactive Science], (1), pp. 108—110. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.21661/r-16488

Hughes, J. (2004). *Citizen Cyborg: Why Democratic Societies Must Respond to the Redesigned Human of the Future*. Cambridge: Westview Press.

Ivanskij, V.P. (2013). Metodologiya issledovaniya prirody pravovoi real'nosti “Ya” (Chast' I) [Methodology of Study of the Nature of Legal Reality “Me” (Part I)]. *Administrativnoe pravo i protsess* [Administrative Law and Procedure], (12), pp. 60—67. (in Russ.).

Ivanskij, V.P. (2014). Metodologiya issledovaniya prirody pravovoi real'nosti “Ya” (Chast' II) [Methodology of Study of the Nature of Legal Reality “Me” (Part II)]. *Administrativnoe pravo i protsess* [Administrative Law and Procedure], (1), pp. 65—71. (in Russ.).

Kelsen, H. (1960). *Reine Rechtslehre* [Pure Theory of Law]. 2nd ed. Vienna: Franz Deuticke. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Kelsen, H. (2015). *Chistoe uchenie o prave*. Translated from German by M. Antonov and S. Loesov. St. Petersburg: Alef Press Publ.].

Makarchuk, M.M. (2005). Ob osnovnom otlichii iskusstvennogo i estestvennogo intellektov [The Main Differences between Artificial and Natural Intelligences]. In: V.A. Lektorskii and D.I. Dubrovskii, eds. *Filosofiya iskusstvennogo intellekta: materialy Vserossiiskoi mezhdistsiplinarnoi konferentsii, Moskva, 17-19 yanv. 2005 g.* [Philosophy of Artificial Intelligence: The Materials of the All-Russia Interdisciplinary Conference. Moscow, 17—19 January 2005]. Moscow: IF. pp. 50—51. (in Russ.).

Manyika, J., Chui, M., Miremadi, M., Bughin, J., George, K., Willmott, P. and Dewhurst, M. (2017). A Future that Works: Automation, Employment, and Productivity. *McKinsey Global Institute* [online] Available at: https://www.mckinsey.com/~media/McKinsey/Global%20Themes/Digital%20Disruption/Harnessing%20automation%20for%20a%20future%20that%20works/MGI-A-future-that-works_Full-report.ashx [Accessed 10 December 2017].

McCarthy, J. *What is Artificial Intelligence?* [online] Stanford University. Available at: <https://www-formal.stanford.edu/jmc/whatisai/whatisai.html> [Accessed: 30 April 2017].

Messer, A.W. (1909). Einführung in die erkenntnistheorie [An Introduction to Epistemology]. Leipzig: Der Dürr'schen Buchhandlung. (in Germ.). [Russ. ed.: Messer, A.W. (2015). *Vvedenie v teoriyu poznaniya*. Translated from German A.A. Frankovskii. Moscow: URSS; KomKniga]. (in Russ.).

Minsky, M. (1961). Steps toward Artificial Intelligence. *Proceedings of the IRE*, 49(1), pp. 8—30.

Minsky, M. (1994). Will Robots Inherit the Earth? *Scientific American*, 271(4), pp. 108—113.

Narskii, I.S. (1972). *Gottfrid Leibnits* [Gottfried Leibniz]. Moscow: Mysl'. (in Russ.).

Osipov, G.S. *Iskusstvennyi intellekt: sostoyanie issledovaniy i vzglyad v budushchee* [The Artificial Intelligence: State of Research and Prospection] [online] Rossiiskaya assotsiatsiya iskusstvennogo intellekta [The Russian Association of Artificial Intelligence]. Available at: <http://www.raai.org/about/persons/osipov/pages/ai/ai.html> [Accessed: 1 May 2017]. (in Russ.).

Regan, T. (2003). *Animal Rights, Human Wrongs: An Introduction to Moral Philosophy*. New York: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Rosenblatt, F. (1962). *Principles of Neurodynamics. Perceptrons and the Theory of Brain Mechanisms*. Washington: Spartan Books. [Russ. ed.: Rosenblatt, F. (1965). *Printsipy neirodinamiki. Pertseptrony i teoriya mekhanizmov mozga*. Translated from English. Moscow: Mir].

Taylor, A. (2003). *Animals and Ethics: An Overview of the Philosophical Debate*. Peterborough: Broadview Press.

Tononi, G., Boly, M., Massimini, M. and Koch, C. (2016). Integrated Information Theory: from Consciousness to Its Physical Substrate. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 17(7), pp. 450—461. DOI: 10.1038/nrn.2016.44

Uzhov, F.V. (2017). Iskusstvennyi intellekt kak sub'ekt prava [Legal Personality of Artificial Intelligence]. *Probely v rossiiskom zakonodatel'stve* [Gaps in Russian Legislation], (3), pp. 357—360. (in Russ.).

Yastrebov, O.A. (2010). Yuridicheskoe litso publichnogo prava: voprosy teorii [The Legal Entity of Public Law: Theory Issues]. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Oleg A. Yastrebov — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Doctor of Economics, Professor, Chair of Administrative and Financial Law Department, Director of Law Institute, RUDN University.

CITATION:

Yastrebov, O.A. (2018). The Legal Capacity of Electronic Person: Theoretical and Methodological Approaches. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(2), pp. 36—55.

DMITRY Yu. POLDNIKOV

Faculty of Law, National Research University Higher School of Economics
3, Bolshoj Trekhsvjatitsky lane, Moscow 123022, Russian Federation

E-mail: dpoldnikov@hse.ru

ORCID: 0000- 0002-2872-4540

RECENT APPLICATIONS OF FUNCTIONAL METHOD IN COMPARATIVE EUROPEAN LEGAL HISTORY

The article was prepared within the framework of the Academic Fund Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE) in 2017- 2018 (grant No.17-01-0051) and by the Russian Academic Excellence Project “5-100”.

Abstract. Profound changes in the contemporary world put almost all legal concepts and theories in European jurisdictions to the test. They require new research of the foundations of European legal tradition by united efforts of legal comparatists and legal historians. Comparative legal history transcends the limits of the national historiographies and brings to the front the functional method which focuses not on the black letter law but on the actual link between the societal needs and their legal solutions.

In this article the author examines the effectiveness of the functional method in the actual and potential research projects in comparative legal history. These projects are divided into two groups depending to their aim to discover similarities or differences. The first group comprises such popular research models as the ‘common core’ of private law across European jurisdictions, successful legal transplants and the restatement of unwritten customary law. The models of the second group aim to discover legal diversity and failed legal transplants in Europe.

The functional method can be applied in each group but it proves to be effective enough in the first group even being the main research method. Projects from the second group can not rely upon this approach as a basis and require combination of functional method with other methods of comparative law.

Keywords: comparative law, comparative legal history, functional method, history of private law in Europe, *ius commune*, common core of law, legal transplant

REFERENCES

(1985). *Englische und kontinentale Rechtsgeschichte: ein Forschungsprojekt*. Berlin: Duncker & Humbolt. (in Germ.).

Ascheri, M. (2005). Presentazione. La lotta il *nomos* dell’Europa. In: P.G. Monateri, T. Giaro and A. Somma, eds. *Le radici comuni del diritto europeo: Un cambiamento di prospettiva*. Rome: Carocci, pp. 11—17. (in It.).

Barton, J.L. ed. (1990). *Towards a General Law of Contract*. Berlin: Duncker & Humbolt, 1990.

Bonfield, L. (2013). *Marriage, Property and Succession*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-47369-4

Bucher, E. (2004). Zu Europa gehört auch Lateinamerika! In: *Zeitschrift für Europäisches Privatrecht*, 12(3), pp. 515—547. (in Germ.).

Cannata, C.A. (1999). Il diritto romano e gli attuali problemi d'unificazione del diritto europeo. In: *Studi in memoria di Giambattista Impallomeni*. Milano: Giuffrè, pp. 41—83. (in It.).

Clifford, J. (1988). *The Predicament of Culture: Twentieth-Century Ethnography, Literature, and Art*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Coing, H. (1985—1989). *Europäisches Privatrecht*. 2 Bd. München: C.H. Beck. (in Germ.).

Dajczak, W., Giaro, T. and Longchamps de Brier, F. (2014). *Prawo rzymskie. U podstaw prawa prywatnego* [The Roman Law. The Root of Private Law]. Warszawa: PWN. (in Polish).

Dongen van, E.G.D. (2014). *Contributory Negligence: A Historical and Comparative Study*. Leiden: Brill. DOI: 10.1163/9789004278721

Donlan, S.P. and Heirbaut, D. eds. (2015). *The Laws' Many Bodies: Studies in Legal Hybridity and Jurisdictional Complexity, c1600-1900*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-54715-9

Frankenberg, G. (2012). How to Do Projects with Comparative Law: Notes of an Expedition to the Common Core. In: P.G. Monateri, ed. *Methods of Comparative Law*. Cheltenham; Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, pp. 120—143. DOI: 10.4337/9781781005118.00013

Geertz, C. (1973). *The Interpretation of Cultures: Selected Essays*. New York: Basic Books.

Glenn, H.P. (2014). *Legal Traditions of the World: Sustainable Diversity in Law*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/he/9780199669837.001.0001

Gurevich, A.Ya. (1984). *Kategorii srednevekovoi kul'tury*. [Categories of Medieval Culture]. 2nd ed. Moscow: Izdatel'stvo "Iskusstvo" Publ. (in Russ.).

Heirbaut, D. (2012). Exploring Law in Medieval Minds. The Duty of the Legal Historian to Write the Books of Non-Written Law. In: A. Musson and Ch. Stebbings, eds. *Making Legal History: Approaches and Methodologies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 118-130. DOI: 10.1017/cbo9781139028578.009

Helmholz, R. ed. (2013). *Canon Law in Protestant Lands*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-47393-9

Helmholz, R. and Zimmermann, R. eds. (2013). *Itinera Fiducia: Trust and Treuhand in Historical Perspective*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-49614-3

Helmholz, R.H. and Sellar, W.D.H. eds. (2009). *The Law of Presumptions: Essays in Comparative Legal History*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-53124-0

Koretsky, V.M. ed. (1985). *Saksonskoe zertsalo. Pamyatnik, kommentarii, issledovaniya*. [The Saxon Mirror. Landmark, Commentary, Researches. Translated

from German by L.I. Dembo]. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russ.).

Legrand, P. (2001). The Return of the Repressed: Moving Comparative Legal Studies beyond Pleasure. *Tulane Law Review*, 75(4), pp. 1033—1051.

Legrand, P. (2009). *Le droit comparé*. 3^e éd. Paris: PUF. (in Fr.).

Löhnig, M. (2014). Comparative Law and Legal History: A Few Words about Comparative Legal History. In: M. Adams and D. Heirbaut, eds. *The Method and Culture of Comparative Law. Essays in Honour of Mark Van Hoecke*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, pp. 113—120. DOI: 10.5040/9781474201636.ch-008

Martínez-Torrón, J. (1998). *Anglo-American Law and Canon Law: Canonical Roots of the Common Law Tradition*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot.

Martyn, G., Dauchy, S., Pihlajamäki, H., Musson, A. and Wijffels, A. eds. (2016). *The Formation and Transmission of Western Legal Culture. 150 Books that Made the Law in the Age of Printing*. Cham: Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-45567-9

Novitskaya, T.E. (2005). *Pravovoe regulirovanie imushchestvennykh otnoshenii v Rossii vo vtoroi polovine XVIII veka* [Legal Regulation of Property Relations in Russia in the Second Half of the 18th Century]. Moscow: Zertsalo-M. (in Russ.).

Pakhman, S.V. (2003). *Obychnoe grazhdanskoe pravo v Rossii* [The Customary Civil Law in Russia]. Moscow: Zertsalo. (in Russ.).

Parise, A. (2017). The Value of Comparative Legal History for American Civil Law Jurisdiction. In: A. Parise, ed. *Ownership Paradigms in American Civil Law Jurisdictions: Manifestations of the Shifts in the Legislation of Louisiana, Chile, and Argentina (16th-20th centuries)*. Leiden; Boston: Brill, pp. 25—55. DOI: 10.1163/9789004338203_003

Picker, E. (2001). Rechtsdogmatik und Rechtsgeschichte. *Archiv für die civilistische Praxis*, 201(6), pp. 763—859. (In Germ.).

Piergiovanni, V. ed. (2013). *The Courts and the Development of Commercial Law*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-46176-9

Pihlajamäki, H. (2014). Comparative Contexts in Legal History: Are We All Comparatists Now? In: M. Adams and D. Heirbaut, eds. *The Method and Culture of Comparative Law. Essays in Honour of Mark Van Hoecke*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, pp. 121—132. DOI: 10.5040/9781474201636.ch-009

Reimann, M. ed. (1993). *The Reception of Continental Ideas in the Common Law World, 1820-1920*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-07682-6

Rhee van, C.H. ed. (2010). *Within a Reasonable Time: The History of Due and Undue Delay in Civil Litigation*. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot. DOI: 10.3790/978-3-428-53409-8

Samuel, G. (2014). *An Introduction to Comparative Law Theory and Method*. Oxford: Hart Publishing. DOI: 10.5040/9781849468442

Schlesinger, R. ed. (1968). *Formation of Contracts: A Study of the Common Core of Legal Systems*. Volume 1. New York: Oceana Publications.

Schmoeckel, M., Ruückert, J. and Zimmermann, R. eds. (2003—2007). *His-*

torisch-kritischer Kommentar zum BGB. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck. (in Germ.).

Siegfried, B. (1968). *Die Lehre vom Gewohnheitsrecht: eine historisch-dogmatische Untersuchung. Geschichtliche Grundlegung (bis zum Ausgang des Mittelalters)*. Frankfurt: Minerva. (in Germ.).

Stolleis, M. (2008). *Rechtsgeschichte schreiben. Rekonstruktion, Erzählung, Fiktion?* Basel: Schwabe. (In Germ.).

Trigger, B. (2003). *Understanding Early Civilizations: A Comparative Study*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511840630

Turner, V. (1975). *The Forest of Symbols: Aspects of Ndembu Ritual*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Valcke, C. and Grellette, M. (2014). Three Functions of Function in Comparative Legal Studies. In: M. Adams and D. Heirbaut, eds. *The Method and Culture of Comparative Law. Essays in Honour of Mark Van Hoecke*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, pp. 99-112. DOI: 10.5040/9781474201636.ch-007

Waelkens, L. (2015). *Amne Adverso: Roman Legal Heritage in European Culture*. Leuven: Leuven University Press.

Watson, A. (1993). *Legal Transplants: An Approach to Comparative Law*. 2nd ed. Athens: University of Georgia Press.

Zimmermann, R. (2001). *Roman Law, Contemporary Law, European Law: The Civilian Tradition Today*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198299134.001.0001

Zimmermann, R. (2005). Rimskoe pravo i garmonizatsiya chastnogo prava v Evrope [Roman Law and the Harmonization of Private Law in Europe]. In: *Drevnee parvo* [Ivs Antiquvm], (1), pp. 156—176. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Dmitry Yu. Poldnikov — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of Theory and History of Law, Faculty of Law, National Research University Higher School of Economics.

CITATION:

Poldnikov, D.Yu. (2018). Recent Applications of Functional Method in Comparative European Legal History. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(2), pp. 56—77.

DANIL A. ALESHIN

Law Institute, RUDN University

6, Miklukho-Maklaya str., Moscow 117198, Russian Federation

E-mail: lyoshn@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0003-1204-8581

THE IDEOLOGICAL ORIENTATION OF THE NON-CLASSICAL LEGAL DISCOURSE

Abstract. The question of the ideological orientation of nonclassical conceptions of law is very important for determining their place in the theory of law, but it is not often discussed in the legal literature, even in the works of authors who adhere to nonclassical approaches in the study of law. Meanwhile, nonclassical rationality can be presented as an ideological intellectual project, which for a number of reasons, due to its content, is associated with the denial of the science, culture and worldview of the modern era. The clearly ideological orientation of nonclassical rationality is manifested in critical theories of law, now very popular in the West, especially among the fighters for social justice.

The nature of nonclassical rationality, which asserts that the social world is contextual and can be constructed and, accordingly, perceived within the framework of the most diverse models, allows one to wage a struggle in the ideological sphere. Because of this, nonclassical rationality can claim the role of an effective force of social transformations aimed at legitimizing the alleged nonclassical rationality of the social order.

The very concept of ideology eventually acquired a «nonclassical» content. The struggle against ideology, conducted in the modern era, is a project of denouncement of the modern rationality, which includes doubt in the structure of rationality, challenging the objectivity of reality, exposing the foundations of scientific knowledge, criticizing positive science as an ideologically conditioned system. Special attention is paid to the problem of ideology by supporters of the «left» trend of intellectual thought. In this connection, the question arises whether the nonclassical rationality which affirms uncertainty, incompleteness, complementarity, decentralization and other relativistic principles, is itself an ideological phenomenon.

The ideological nature of law is one of the favorite themes of Western humanitarian science in general and Western legal theory, in particular. In the postmodern and critical Western conceptions of law, the influence of the ideological context is most distinctly traced. Russian authors do not perceive the picture of the world, formed by non-classical rationality as ideologically conditioned, rather, on the contrary, it seems for them as non-ideological as possible. However, it can be assumed that in the future in Russian jurisprudence, as well as, for example, in American doctrine, ideology will determine the content of scientific discussions.

Key words: non-classical rationality, epistemology, postmodern, ideology, theory of law, critical law school, neo-Marxism, discourse-analysis

REFERENCES

Althusser, L. (1970). *Idéologie et appareils idéologiques d'Etat* (Notes pour une recherche). *La Pensée. Revue du rationalisme moderne*, (151), pp. 3—38. (in Fr.) [Russ ed.: Althusser L. (2011). *Ideologiya i ideologicheskie apparaty gosudarstva* (zametki dlya issledovaniya). Translated from French by S. Ryndin. *Neprikosno-*

vennyi zapas. Debaty o politike i kul'ture [NZ: Debates on Politics and Culture], (3), pp. 14—58].

Barthes, R. (1966). *Critique et Vérité*. Paris: Seuil. (in Fr.) [Russ. ed.: Barthes, R. (1987). *Kritika i istina*. Translated from French by G.K. Kosikov. In: G.K. Kosikov, ed. *Zarubezhnaya estetika i teoriya literatury XIX-XX vv.: Traktaty, stat'i, esse* [The Foreign Aesthetics and Theory of Literature of 19th -20th Centuries: Treatises, Articles, Essays]. Moscow: MGU, pp. 349—387].

Bessonov, B.N., Narsky, I.S. and Yakovlev, M.V. eds. (1975). *Sotsial'naya filosofiya Frankfurtskoi shkoly (Kriticheskie ocherki)* [The Social Philosophy of the Frankfurt School (Critical Essays)]. Moscow; Prague: “Mysl” Publ.; “Svoboda” Publ. (in Russ.).

Bozo de Carmona, A.J. Toward a Postmodern Theory of Law. *Paideia. Philosophy of Law*, [online] Available at: <http://www.bu.edu/wcp/Papers/Law/LawBozo.htm> [Accessed 10 March 2018].

Bursevich, V.V. (2010). Vzaimodeistvie ideologii i yazyka kak problema diskurs-analiza [Ideology and Language Interaction as a Problem of Discourse Analysis]. *Vestnik BDU. Seryya 3. Gistoryya. Filasofiya. Ekanomika. Prava*. [Vestnik BSU. Series 3. History. Philosophy. Economy. Law.], (3), pp. 40—44. (in Russ.).

Chestnov, I.L. (2012). *Postklassicheskaya teoriya prava* [Postclassical Theory of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Izdatel'skii dom “Alef-Press”. (in Russ.).

Chestnov, I.L. (2012). Pravo v kontekste kul'tury postmoderna [Law in the Context of Postmodern Culture]. In: *Dialog kul'tur v usloviyakh globalizatsii: XII Mezhdunarodnye Likhachevskie nauchnye chteniya, 17—18 maya 2012 g. T. 1: Doklady* [Dialogue of Cultures under Globalization: 12th International Likhachev Scientific Conference, May 17—18 2012. Vol. 1: Proceedings]. Saint Petersburg: SPbGUP, pp. 446—447. (in Russ.).

Chestnov, I.L. (2013). Kontsept spravedlivosti v postklassicheskom pravoponimanii [Concept of Justice in the Postclassical Law Understanding]. *Izvestiya vysshikh uchebnykh zavedeniy. Pravovedenie* [Proceedings of Higher Education Institutions. Pravovedenie], (2), pp. 35—50. (in Russ.).

Chestnov, I.L. (2016). Nauchnaya novizna postklassicheskoi yurisprudentsii [The Scientific Novelty of the Post-Classical Jurisprudence]. *Rossiiskii zhurnal pravovykh issledovaniy* [Russian Journal of Legal Studies], (2), pp. 7—15. (in Russ.).

Chestnov, I.L. (2016). Postklassicheskaya interpretatsiya uskol'zayushchego bytiya prava: vmesto vvedeniya [The Post-Classical Interpretation of the Elusory Being of Law: Instead of Introduction]. In: I.L. Chestnov, ed. (2016). *Postklassicheskaya ontologiya prava* [Post-Classical Ontology of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Aleteiya, 2016, pp. 11—21. (in Russ.).

Chestnov, I.L. (2016). Postmarksistskaya metodologiya prava: perspektivy nauchnoi sostoyatel'nosti [The Post-Marxist Methodology of Law: Prospects for Scientific Viability]. In: *Problemy postsovetskoi teorii i filosofii prava: sbornik statei* [The Problems of Post-Soviet Theory and Philosophy of Law: A Collection of Articles]. Moscow: Yurлитinform. pp. 264—279. (in Russ.).

Critical Race Theory. *The Bridge*, [online] Available at:

<https://cyber.harvard.edu/bridge/CriticalTheory/critical4.htm> [Accessed 10 March 2018].

Eisenstein, Z.R. (1988). *The Female Body and the Law*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Foucault, M. (1984). *Histoire de la sexualité*. Tome II: L'usage des plaisirs. Paris: Gallimard. (in Fr.) [Russ ed.: Foucault, M. (1996). *Ispol'zovanie udovol'stvii. Vvedenie*. In: Foucault, M. *Volya k istine: po tu storonu znaniya, vlasti i seksual'nosti. Raboty raznykh let* [The Will to Truth: beyond Knowledge, Power and Sexuality]. Translated from French S. Tabachnikova. Moscow: Kastal', pp. 269—306.].

Fraser, N. (1981). Foucault on Modern Power: Empirical Insights and Normative Confusions. *PRAXIS International*, 1 (3), pp. 272—287. [Russ ed.: Fraser, N. (2013). Foucault o sovremennoi vlasti: empiricheskie prozreniya i normativnaya putanitsa. Translated from English by V. Makarov. *Neprikosnovennyi zapas. Debaty o politike i kul'ture* [NZ: Debates on Politics and Culture], (2), pp. 27—47].

Frug, M.J. (1992). A Postmodern Feminist Legal Manifesto (An Unfinished Draft). *Harvard Law Review*, 105(5), pp. 1045—1075. DOI: 10.2307/1341520

Habermas, J. (1965). Erkenntnis und Interesse. *Merkur*, 19(12), pp. 1139—1153. (in Germ.) [Russ. ed.: Habermas, J. (2007). *Poznanie i interes* [Knowledge and Human Interest]. In: Habermas, J. *Tekhnika i nauka kak "ideologiya"* [Technique and Science as "Ideology"]. Translated from German by M.L. Khor'kov. Moscow: Praxis, pp. 50—116].

Habermas, J. (1968). Technik und Wissenschaft als "Ideologie"? *Merkur*, 22(7), pp. 591—610; 22(8), pp. 682—693. (in Germ.) [Russ. ed.: Habermas, J. (2007). *Tekhnika i nauka kak "ideologiya"*. In: Habermas, J. *Tekhnika i nauka kak "ideologiya"* [Technique and Science as "Ideology"]. Translated from German by M.L. Khor'kov. Moscow: Praxis, pp. 167—191].

Hmylev, V.L. (2006). Kontsept ideologii i ego integratsiya v sovremennuyu epokhu [The Concept of Ideology and Its Integration in the Modern Age]. *Izvestiya Tomskogo politekhnicheskogo universiteta. Inzhiniring georesurov*. [Bulletin of the Tomsk Polytechnic University. Geo Assets Engineering], 309(5), pp. 206—211. (in Russ.).

Hmylev, V.L. (2007). Kontsept ideologii ot prosveshcheniya k postmodernu [Concept of Ideology — from the Age of Enlightenment to Postmodern]. *Vestnik Tomskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta. Obshchenauchnyi periodicheskii zhurnal*. [Vestnic Tomsk State University. General Scientific Periodical], (299), pp. 66—70. (in Russ.).

Kirilenko, G.G. and Shevtsov, E.V. (2003). *Filosofiya* [The Philosophy]. Moscow: Filologicheskoe obshchestvo "SLOVO"; "EKSMO" Publ. (in Russ.).

Letyagin, L.I. (2014). Sootnoshenie nauki i ideologii: "postneklassika" [The Science and Ideology Ratio: "Post-non-Classical"]. *Filosofiya i nauka* [Philosophy and Science], 13, pp. 94—101. (in Russ.).

Mitchenkov, I.G. ed. (2014). *Epistemologiya: osnovnaya problematika i evolyutsiya podkhodov v filosofii nauki*. [The Epistemology: The Main Problems and Evolution of Approaches in the Philosophy of Science]. Kemerovo: FGBOU

VPO KuzGTU. (in Russ.).

Pavlov, V.I. Postklassicheskaya antropologiya prava v kontekste sinergiinoi antropologii: formirovanie antropologicheskogo tipa pravoponimaniya [The Post-Classical Legal Anthropology in the Context of Synergistic Anthropology: The Formation of an Anthropological Type of Legal Understanding]. *The Institute of Philosophy, Russian Academy of Sciences* [online] Available at: https://iphbras.ru/uplfile/root/news/archive_events/2015/02_Pavlov_tezisi.pdf [Accessed 14 March 2018].

Poddubny, N.V. and Trunov, A.A. (2016). Pochemu ideologiya ne stala naukoj o ratsional'nom myshlenii? [Why Did Ideology Not Become a Science of Rational Thinking?]. *Istoricheskaya psikhologiya i sotsiologiya istorii* [Historical Psychology & Sociology], 9(2), pp. 105—120. (in Russ.).

Polyakov, A.V. (2014). Pravo i kommunikatsiya [Law and Communication]. In: Polyakov, A.V. *Kommunikativnoe pravoponimanie. Izbrannye trudy*. [The Communicative Understanding of Law. Selected Works] Saint Petersburg: Izdatel'skii dom "Alef-Press", pp. 11—32. (in Russ.).

Razumovskii, I.P. (1923). Ponyatie prava u K. Marksa i Fr. Engel'sa [K. Marx and Fr. Engels Concept of Law]. *Pod znamenem marksizma* [Under the Banner of Marxism], (2—3), pp. 68—97. (in Russ.).

Rotry, R. (1983). Postmodernist Bourgeois Liberalism. *The Journal of Philosophy*, 80(10), pp. 583-589. DOI: 10.2307/2026153 [Russ ed: Rorty, R. (1999). Postmodernistskii burzhuaznyi liberalism. Translated from English by I. Dzhok-hadze. *Logos. Filosofsko-literaturnyi zhurnal* [Logos. Philosophical Literary Journal], (9), pp. 96—104.].

Sinha Surya Prakash (1993). *Jurisprudence. Legal Philosophy. In a Nutshell*. St. Paul. Minn.: West Publishing Co. [Russ. ed.: Sinha Surya Prakash (1996). *Yurisprudentsiya. Filosofiya prava. Kratkii kurs*. Translated from English by V.M. Ashmarin. Moscow: Akademiya].

Smetannikov, D.S. (2000). *Shkola kriticheskikh pravovykh issledovaniy* [The Critical Legal Studies School]. The Candidate of Legal Sciences Thesis' Abstract. Saint Petersburg: Saint Petersburg State University. (in Russ.).

Solomko, Z.V. (2010). *Pravo, ideologiya i kriticheskaya pravovaya teoriya* [The Law, Ideology and Critical Legal Theory]. zakon.kz [online] Available at: <https://journal.zakon.kz/journal/9004/> [Accessed 12 March 2018].

Stepin, V.S. (1990). Ot klassicheskoi k postneklassicheskoi nauke (izmenenie osnovanii i tsennostnykh orientatsii) [From Classical to Post-non-Classical Science (Change of Bases and Value Orientations)]. In: N.S. Zlobin and V.Zh. Kelle, eds. *Tsennostnye aspekty razvitiya nauki* [The Value Aspects of the Development of Science]. Moscow: Nauka, pp. 152—166. (in Russ.).

Stepin, V.S. (2009). Klassika, neklassika, postneklassika: kriterii razlicheniya [Classics, Non-Classics, Post-non-Classics: Criteria of Differentiations]. In: L.P. Kiyashchenko and V.S. Stepin, eds. *Postneklassika: filosofiya, nauka, kul'tura* [The Post-non-Classics: Philosophy, Science, Culture]. Saint Petersburg: Izdatel'skii dom "Mir", pp. 249—295. (in Russ.).

Timoshina, E.V. (2014). Klassicheskoe i postklassicheskoe pravoponimanie

kak stili myshleniya [Classical and Post-Classical Understanding of Law as Styles of Thinking]. In: M.V. Antonov and I.L. Chestnov, eds. *Kommunikativnaya teoriya prava i sovremnyye problemy yurisprudentsii. K 60-letiyu Andrey Vasil'evicha Polyakova: kollektivnaya monografiya. T. 1. Kommunikativnaya teoriya prava v issledovaniyakh otechestvennykh i zarubezhnykh uchenykh* [The Communicative Theory of Law and Modern Problems of Jurisprudence. To the 60th Anniversary of Andrey V. Polyakov. Vol. 1. The Communicative Theory of Law in the Researches of Russian and Foreign Scientists]. Saint Petersburg: Izdatel'skii Dom "Alef-Press", pp. 63—100. (in Russ.).

Timoshina, E.V. (2016). Klassicheskoe i postklassicheskoe pravoponimanie kak stili filosofsko-pravovogo myshleniya [The Classical and Post-Classical Understanding of Law as Styles of Legal Philosophical Thinking]. In: I.L. Chestnov, ed. *Postklassicheskaya ontologiya prava* [Post-Classical Ontology of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Aleteiya, pp. 218—294. (in Russ.).

Varlamova, N.V. (2009). Rossiiskaya teoriya prava v poiskakh paradigm [Russian Legal Theory in Search of a Paradigm]. *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava* [Journal of Russian Law], (12), pp. 68—84. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO

Danil A. Aleshin — Post-Graduate Student of the Theory of Law and State Department, Law Institute, RUDN University.

CITATION:

Aleshin, D.A. (2018). The Ideological Orientation of the Non-Classical Legal Discourse. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(2), pp. 78—101.

NATALIA M. ZOLOTUKHINA

Russian State University of Justice

69-a, Novocheriomushkinskaya str., Moscow117418, Russian Federation

E-mail: nzolotuhina@list.ru

ORCID: 0000-0003-0714-4800

MEDIEVAL RUSSIAN THINKERS ON TRUTH, LAW, JUSTICE, VERITY AND GRACE

Abstract. Before the adoption of Christianity, social relations in Russia were regulated by custom and law, and these terms were used in the same meaning. The term “truth” (*pravda*), long known in Russia, denoted abstract concepts related to administrative and judicial activity: it could mean the right to judge; justification; absence of guilt (according to law and custom); code of laws.

After the acceptance of Christianity by Russia in 988, the term “law” received sacred meaning: the laws of God, the laws of the Old and New Testaments, the laws of Jesus Christ, the laws of the Ecumenical Councils. The word “law” was not taken out of its former connotation, but it received characteristics relating directly to God: verity, justice, eternity, and infinity. The concepts of “verity” and “justice”, in turn, were interpreted according to the Orthodox doctrine: “Verity is the Christ”, and justice is an immanently inherent attribute of God and all that is part of Him, therefore both of these concepts were eternal and unchanging. The “law” was now interpreted as the embodiment of God’s commandments; “truth” was perceived as part of this law, intended to be implemented by God’s representatives — earthly rulers. The content of the princely legislation — “Princely Truth” — was assessed by public opinion, depending on its conformity or inconsistency with Divine justice, i.e. the law is in its sacred meaning.

The Kiev Metropolitan Hillarion (11th century), in his treatise “Word on Law and Grace”, theoretically formulated for the first time the basic concepts relating to regulating relations in the early Middle Ages: Law, Truth, Grace and Verity. Verity represented a special category; it is neither tantamount to truth nor to law, but is revealed to people as a Divine gift intended to «guide» their perception of faith in Jesus Christ and following Him in their behavior.

In the late Middle Ages, already in the Muscovy (XIV—XVI centuries), in connection with emergence of a large number of normative acts, the meaning of the terms “law” and “truth” was substantially rethought. The content of the term “law” was reduced to the designation of a specific legal norm adopted and protected by the state. The term “truth”, on the contrary, significantly expands its semantics, becoming practically synonymous to the term “justice”; Corresponding to Divine justice, it begins to be used to attribute the quality of righteousness or fairness to the whole complex of political and legal relations in the state.

The term “law” was not included in the vocabulary of the entire Russian Middle Ages, its appearance is associated with the spread of the theory of “natural law” in Russia. The use of the term “law” in modern studies as applied to all periods of Russian history is nothing more than permissible modern designation of all means of regulating social relations.

Keywords: truth, law, justice, verity, grace, faith, understanding of law, justice, Russian Middle Ages

REFERENCES

(1860). *Sochineniya Prepodobnogo Maksima Greka* [The Writings of Maximus the Greek]. Part 2. Kazan: Tipografiya Gubernskogo Pravleniya. (in Russ.).

(1863). *Istiny pokazanie k voprosivshim o novom uchenii: sochinenie inoka Zinoviya* [The Evidence of Truth, for Those Who Inquire about the New Teaching by Inok Zinovii]. Kazan: Universitetskaya tipografiya. (in Russ.).

(1994). *Tvorenje svyatogo prepodobnogo Iosifa Volotskogo. Prosvetitel'*. [The Patristic Writing of St. Joseph Volotsky "The Illuminator"]. Moscow: Spaso-Preobrazhenskii Valaamskii monastyr' Publ. (in Russ.).

Adrianova-Peretts, V.P. ed. (1951). *Vremennik Ivana Timofeeva* [Chronicle of Ivana Timofeeva]. Moscow; Leningrad: AN SSSR Publ. (in Russ.).

Anan'ich, B.V., Ganelin, R.Sh. and Paneyakh, V.M. eds. (1996). *Vlast' reformy: ot samoderzhavnoi k sovetskoi Rossii* [Power of Reform: from Autocratic to Soviet Russia]. Saint Petersburg: Nauka. (in Russ.).

Berdyayev, N.A. (1990). *Istoki i smysl russkogo kommunizma* [Origins and Meaning of Russian Communism]. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russ.).

Cherepnin, L.V. (1974). Iz nabljudenij nad leksikoj drevnerusskikh aktov (k voprosu o termine "pravda") [The Observations on the Lexicon of Ancient Russian Acts (on the Issue of the Term "Pravda")]. In: F.P. Filin, ed. *Voprosy istorii i leksikografii vostochnoslavjanskikh jazykov: k 80-letiju S.G. Barhudarova* [Questions of the History and Lexicography of the Eastern Slavic Languages: To the 80th Anniversary of S.G. Barkhudarova]. Moscow: Nauka, pp. 211—218. (in Russ.).

Cherepnin, L.V. (1978). *Zemskie sobory russkogo gosudarstva v XVI—XVII vv.* [Zemskie Sobory of the Russian State in the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries]. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russ.).

Chichurov, I.S. (1993). *Politicheskaya ideologiya Srednevekov'ya. Vizantiya i Rus'* [Political Ideology of the Middle Ages. Byzantium and Rus]. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russ.).

Chupova, M.D. (2017). Zabytye Sudebniki (1589 i 1606/07) [The Forgotten Codes of Justice (1589 and 1606/07)]. *Lex Russica*, (2), pp. 184—205. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.17803/1729-5920.2017.123.2.184-207

Denissoff, E. (1943). *Maxime le Grec et l'occident — Contribution à l'histoire de la pensée religieuse et philosophique de Michel Trivolis* [Maxime the Greek and the West — Contribution to the History of Religious and Philosophical thought of Michel Trivolis]. Paris; Louvain: Desclée de Brouwer; Bibliothèque de l'Université. (in Fr.).

Deryagin, V.Ya. (2011). Mitropolit Ilarion zhizn' i slovo [Metropolitan Hilarion Life and the Sermon]. In: Mitropolitan Hilarion. *Slovo o Zakone i Blagodati* [The Sermon on Law and Grace]. Edited by O.A. Platonov. Moscow: Institut russkoi tsivilizatsii, pp. 11—35. (in Russ.).

Froyanov, I.Ya. (2006) Kommentarii k stat'e 98 Sudebnika 1550 g. [Commentary on Article 98 of the 1550 Sudebnik]. In: A.Yu. Dvornichenko, ed. *Issledovaniya po istorii Srednevekovoi Rusi. K 80-letiyu Yuriya Georgievicha Alekseeva* [Essays of the History of Medieval Russia. To the 80th Anniversary of Yuri

Georgievich Alekseev]. Moscow: Saint Petersburg: Al'yans Arkheo, pp. 145—155. (in Russ.).

Galanza, P.N. and Gromakova, B.S. eds. (1980). *Istoriya gosudarstva i prava zarubezhnykh stran* [History of State and Law of Foreign Countries]. Moscow: Yuridicheskaya literatura. (in Russ.).

Grafsky, V.G. (2003). *Vseobshchaya istoriya gosudarstva i prava* [Universal History of State and Law]. Moscow: Norma. (in Russ.).

Gromov, M.N. (1983). *Maksim Grek* [Maximus the Greek]. Moscow: Mysl'. (in Russ.).

Gurevich, A.Ya. (1984). *Kategorii srednevekovoi kul'tury* [Categories of Medieval Culture]. Moscow: Iskusstvo. (in Russ.).

Herbershtein, von S.F. (1557). *Moscovia der Hauptstadt in Reissen* [Notes upon Russia]. Wien: Zimmermann. (in Germ.) [Russ. ed.: Herbershtein, S. (1988). *Zapiski o Moskovii*. Translated from German by A.I. Malein and A.V. Nazarenko. Moscow: MGU Publ.].

Hilarion (1994). *Slovo o Zakone i Blagodati* [The Sermon on Law and Grace]. Moscow: Skriptorii. (in Russ.).

Kaiser, D.H. (1980). *The Growth of the Law in Medieval Russia*. Princeton; Guildford: Princeton University Press.

Kleimola, A.M. (1980). Military Service and Elite Status in Muscovy in the Second Quarter of the Sixteenth Century. *Russian History*, 7(1—2), pp. 47—64. DOI: 10.1163/187633180x00067

Kleimola, A.M. (1983). Reviewed Work: Ivan the Terrible by Francis Carr. *Russian History*, 10(1), pp. 95—96.

Klibanov, A.I. (1937). The Pravda of “Land” and “World” by Ivan Peresvetov. In: A.M. Samsonov, ed. *Istoricheskie zapiski* [Historical Notes]. Volume 99. Moscow: Nauka, pp. 221—245. (in Russ.).

Klibanov, A.I. and Koretskii, V.I. (1961). Poslanie Zinoviya Otenskogo d'yaku Ya.V. Shishkinu [The Epistle of Zinovii Otenskii to the Deacon Ya.V. Shishkin]. In: N.A. Kazakova, ed. *Trudy otдела drevnerusskoi literatury* [Proceedings of the Department of Old Russian Literature]. Volume XVII. Moscow; Leningrad: AN SSSR Publ., pp. 201—224. (in Russ.).

Koposov, N.E. (1982). “Nastavlenie” Agapita i zapadnoevropeiskaya politicheskaya mysl' XVI—XVII vv.: (Agapit i Erazm) [Agapit's “Manual” and Western Political Thought of the 16th—17th Centuries: (Agapit and Erasmus)]. *Vizantiiskii vremennik* [Byzantina Xponika], 43, pp. 90—97. (in Russ.).

Kozel'skii, Ya.P. (1959). Filosoficheskie predlozheniya [Philosophical Proposals]. In: Pokrovskii, S.A. ed. *Yuridicheskie proizvedeniya progressivnykh russkikh myslitelei: Vtoraya polovina XVIII veka* [Legal Works of Progressive Russian Thinkers: The Second Half of the 18th Century]. Moscow: Gosyurizdat, pp. 307—430. (in Russ.).

Kurbsky, A.M. (2001). Predislovie k Novomu Margaritu [Preface to the New Margarine]. In: D.S. Likhachev, L.A. Dmitriev, A.A. Alekseev and N.V. Ponyrko, eds. *Biblioteka literatury Drevnei Rusi* [Bibliotheca of Literature of Ancient Russia]. Volume 11. Saint Petersburg: Nauka, pp. 554—569. (in Russ.).

Likhachev, D.S. ed. (1956). *Sochineniya I. Peresvetova* [Essays of I. Peresvetov]. Moscow: AN SSSR Publ. (in Russ.).

Likhachev, D.S. ed. (1979). *Perepiska Ivana Groznogo s Andreem Kurbskim* [The Correspondence between Ivan the Terrible and Andrew Kurbsky]. Leningrad: Nauka. (in Russ.).

Limontceva, V.A. and Vinnitskiy, I.E. (2015). K voprosu o spravedlivosti v prave [To the Question about Justice and Law]. *Pravo i obrazovanie* [Law and Education], (6), pp. 15—25. (in Russ.).

Limonzeva, V.A. and Simonova, I.E. (2017). K voprosu o spravedlivosti v pravoprimenenii. Mezhdunarodnye i vnutrigosudarstvennye aspekty zashchity prav [The Issue of Fairness in Law Enforcement: International and Domestic Legal Aspects of the Protection of the Rights to the Issue]. *Zakon i pravo* [Law and Legislation], (12), pp. 15—25. (in Russ.).

Madariaga, I. (2004). Vnov' obrashchayas' k Ivanu Groznomu (1530—1584) [Turning back to Ivan the Terrible (1530—1584)]. In: O.A. Omel'chenko, ed. *Themis: Ezhegodnik istorii prava i pravovedeniya* [Themis: Yearbook of the History of Law and Jurisprudence]. Issue 5. Moscow: MGIU, pp. 16—31. (in Russ.).

Malinin, V. (1901). *Starets Eleazarova monastyry Filofei i ego poslaniya. Istoriko-literaturnoe issledovanie* [Filofei, Elder of the Eleazar Monastery and His Epistles: An Historical-literary Investigation]. Kiev: Tipografiya Kievo-Pecherskoi Uspenskoï Lavry. (in Russ.).

Meyendorff, J. (1981). *Byzantium and the Rise of Russia: A Study of Byzantino-Russian Relations in the Fourteenth Century*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.

Nersesyants, V.S. (1983). *Pravo i zakon. Iz istorii pravovykh uchenii* [Law and Legislative Acts. From the History of Legal Thought]. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russ.).

Pipes, R. (1981). *Russia under the Old Regime*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. [Russ. ed.: Pipes, R. (1993). *Rossiya pri starom rezhime*. Translated from English by V. Kozlovskii. Moscow: Nezavisimaya Gazeta].

Polosin, I.I. (1946). O chelobitnykh I.S. Peresvetova [On I.S. Peresvetova Petitions]. In: A.M. Pankratova, ed. *Uchenye zapiski Gosudarstvennogo pedagogicheskogo instituta im. V.I. Lenina* [Scientific Notes of the Lenin State Pedagogical Institute]. Volume 35. Issue 2. Moscow: MGPI im. V.I. Lenina, pp. 25—55. (in Russ.).

Polyakov, A.V. (2001). *Obshchaya teoriya prava* [General Theory of Law]. Saint Petersburg: Yuridicheskii tsentr. (in Russ.).

Pososhkov, I.T. (1937). *Kniga o skudosti i bogatstve* [The Book about Poverty and Wealth]. Moscow: Sotsevizgiz. (in Russ.).

Possevino, A. (1983). *Istoricheskie sochineniya o Rossii XVI veka* [Historical Essays on Russia of Sixteenth-Century]. Moscow: Moskovskii universitet Publ. (in Russ.).

Rogov, V.A. (2001). “Zakon” i “obychai” v drevnerusskoi pravovoi terminologii [“Law” and “Custom” in Old Russian Legal Terminology]. In: O.A. Omel'chenko, ed. *Themis: Ezhegodnik istorii prava i pravovedeniya* [Themis: Yearbook of the History of Law and Jurisprudence]. Issue 2. Moscow: MGIU, pp.

77—99. (in Russ.).

Rogov, V.A. (2002). *Etyudy o parallelyakh v srednevekovoï teorii prava* [Etudes on Parallels in Medieval Theory of Law]. In: O.A. Omel'chenko, ed. *Themis: Ezhegodnik istorii prava i pravovedeniya* [Themis: Yearbook of the History of Law and Jurisprudence]. Issue 3. Moscow: MGIU, pp. 24—48. (in Russ.).

Sakketti, A.L. (1951). Politicheskaya programma I.S. Peresvetova [The Political Program of I.S. Peresvetov]. *Vestnik Moskovskogo universiteta. Seriya obshchestvennykh nauk* [The Bulletin of Moscow University. Series of Social Sciences], (1), pp. 107—117. (in Russ.).

Shtamm, S.I. (1955). *Sudebnik 1497 g.* [The Sudebnik of 1497]. Moscow: Yurizdat. (in Russ.).

Sreznevskii, I.I. (1893). *Materialy dlya slovarya drevnerusskogo yazyka po pis'mennym pamyatnikam* [Materials for the Dictionary of the Old-Russian Language on the Written Monuments]. Volume 1. Saint Petersburg: Tipografiya imperatorskoi Akademii nauk. (in Russ.).

Sreznevskii, I.I. (1902). *Materialy dlya slovarya drevnerusskogo yazyka po pis'mennym pamyatnikam* [Materials for the Dictionary of the Old-Russian Language on the Written Monuments]. Volume 2. Saint Petersburg: Tipografiya imperatorskoi Akademii nauk. (in Russ.).

Vipper, R.Yu. (1942). *Ivan Groznyï* [Ivan the Terrible]. Tashkent: Gosizdat UzSSR. (in Russ.).

Vysheslavtsev, B.P. (1994). *Etika preobrazhennogo erosa* [The Ethics of Transfigured Eros]. Moscow: Respublika. (in Russ.).

Yachmenev, Yu.V. (2001). *Pravda i Zakon. Iz istorii rossiiskogo pravovedeniya* [Truth and Law. From the History of Russian Jurisprudence]. Saint Petersburg: Sankt-Peterburgskii universitet MVD Rossii. (in Russ.).

Yushkov, S.V. ed. (1952). *Pamyatniki russkogo prava* [Collection of the Russian Law Monuments]. Issue 1. Moscow: Gosyurizdat. (in Russ.).

Zimin, A.A. (1958). *I.S. Peresvetov i ego sovremenniki* [I.S. Peresvetov and his Contemporaries]. Moscow: AN SSSR Publ. (in Russ.).

Zimin, A.A. and Khoroshkevich, A.L. (1982). *Rossiya vremeni Ivana Groznogo* [Russia of the Time of Ivan the Terrible]. Moscow: Nauka. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO

Natalia M. Zolotukhina — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Professor, Honored Lawyer of the Russian Federation, Chief Research Fellow of the Theory and History of Law and Judiciary Department, Russian State University of Justice.

CITATION:

Zolotukhina, N.M. (2018). Medieval Russian Thinkers on Truth, Law, Justice, Verity, and Grace. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(2), pp. 102—142.

DMITRY V. DOZHDEV

Faculty of Law, Moscow School of Social and Economic Sciences
82, building 2, prospect Vernadskogo, Moscow 119571, Russian Federation
E-mail: dozhdev@list.ru
ORCID: 0000-0002-0001-5259

**ADAPTATION AND RESCISSION OF CONTRACT DUE
TO THE FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES:
EUROPEAN CIVILIAN TRADITION AND MODERN TRENDS**

Ending (Cont'd from 2018. Vol. 13. No. 1)

The research conducted under the Russian Foundation for Basic Research
No. 17-03-00886

Abstract. A study of “*clausula rebus sic stantibus*” in the course of development of the notion of *causa contractus* is viewed as substantial element of the will (*substantialia*) in the European legal tradition. Decline of the doctrine of contractual cause in the *Usus Modernus* epoch was accompanied by the expulsion of the *rebus sic stantibus* clause from the Civil codifications of the 19th century. The present-day re-born of the institute seems coordinated with the restored role of the good faith concept in the civil law and the establishment of the objective and normative approach to the formation of individual will. The dogmatic foundations of the notion under study, easily recoverable from the works of Medieval jurists are seen in the recognition of the normative limitations of the will as a source of legal relationship due to the integration of the external circumstances in its content. The modern doctrine of the concrete cause of the contract is viewed as resulting dogmatic construction built on the general philosophical foundations of the European contract theory. The recent trend towards the adaptation of the long-term contracts (instead of rescission) observed in the last legislative reforms and *lex mercatoria* development is backed by a sensitive consolidation of the traditional legal notions and resulting deeper insight into the nature of the normative structure of the private law. Though German and French scholars keep treating the problem in subjective terms even after the codification of the *clausula rebus sic stantibus* doctrine, systemic approach reveals restoration of the objective demands of the legal principles within the civil legislation. General requirement of good faith does not permit to one party to the contract to profit from the hardship affecting the counter-party. The violation of the equivalence in bargain affects the very normative foundations of the legal system. To adjust the distorted contract to the new factual setting, to re-establish the previously contracted harmony means to pursue the general demand of the legal principle of equality. It is not economical, but legal issue at stake. The said conceptual foundation leads to the scientifically backed understanding of the external circumstances as a constituent element of the parties’ coordinated will to the bargain and of the contract as a form of realization of the legal norm in the concrete legal relationship.

Keywords: contract, hardship, frustration, *causa*, contract adaptation, rescission, *clausula rebus sic stantibus*, mistake, European legal tradition

REFERENCES

(1888). *Motive zu dem Entwurfe eines bürgerlichen Gesetzbuches für das Deutsche Reich*. Bd. 2. Berlin: J. Guttentag. (in Germ.).

Alciati, A. (1560). *Tractatus, orationes, adnotationes in C. Tacitum et emblemata*. Vol. 6. Book 3. Lugduni: Petrus Fradin excudebat. (in Lat.).

Beck-Mannagetta, M. (1977). Die Clausula Rebus sic Stantibus und die Geschäftsgrundlage in der Dogmengeschichte. In: B. Paradisi, ed. *La formazione storica del diritto moderno in Europa*. Volume III. Firenze: Leo S. Olschki, pp. 1263—1276. (in Germ.).

Bessone, M. (1969). *Adempimento e rischio contrattuale*. Milan: Giuffrè. (in It.).

Betti, E. (1955). *Teoria generale del negozio giuridico*. Turin: Giapichelli. (in It.).

Bonfante, P. (1921). Il contratto e la causa del contratto. In: *Scritti giuridici varii*. Turin: Giappichelli, pp. 131—151. (in It.).

Cardilli, R. (2010). Sopravvenienza e pericoli contrattuali. In: Cardilli, R. *“Bona Fides” tra storia e sistema*. 2nd ed. Turin: Giappichelli, pp. 175—215. (in It.).

Coccejus de, H. (1722). *Exercitationum curiosarum Palatarum, Traiectinarum et Viadrinarum*. Volume II. Lemgoviae: Typis & Impensis Henrici Wilhelmi Meyeri, Aulæ Lippiac. Typograph (in Lat.).

Coccejus de, H. (1746). *Grotius Illustratus seu Commentarii ad Hugonis Grotii De Jure Belli et Pacis*. Wratislaviae: Sumtibus, Johannis Jacobi Korn, Bibliobol. (in Lat.).

Eberhard, J.H. (1769). Von der Clausel rebus sic stantibus. In: *Drei Abhandlungen zur Erläuterung des deutschen*. Frankfurt; Leipzig: Bräuer. (in Germ.).

Fauvarque-Cosson, B. (2009). Negotiation and Renegotiation: A French Perspective. In: J. Cartwright, S. Vogenauer and S. Whittaker, eds. *Reforming the French Law of Obligations. Comparative Reflections on the Avant-projet de réforme du droit des obligations et de la prescription (‘the Avant-projet Catala’)*. Oxford: Hart Publishing, pp. 33—50. DOI: 10.5040/9781472560438.ch-002

Fauvarque-Cosson, B. (2017). Does Review on the Ground of Imprévision Breach the Principle of Binding Force of Contracts. In: J. Cartwright and S. Whittaker, eds. *Code Napoléon Rewritten: French Contract Law after the 2016 Reforms*. Oxford: Hart, pp. 320—343. DOI: 10.5040/9781509911622.ch-010

Feenstra, R. (1974). Impossibilitas and Clausula Rebus Sic Stantibus. Some Aspects of Frustration of Contract in Continental Legal History up to Grotius. In: A. Watson, ed. *Daube Noster. Essays in Legal History for David Daube*. Edinburgh; London: Scottish Academic Press, pp. 77—104.

Ferri, G. (1966). *Causa e tipo nella teoria del negozio giuridico*. Milan: Giuffrè. (in It.).

Ferri, G. (2002). L’“invisibile” presenza della causa del contratto. *Europa e diritto privato*, (4), pp. 897—914. (in It.).

Fikentscher, W. (1971). *Die Geschäftsgrundlage als Frage des Vertragsrisikos*. München: C.H. Beck. (in Germ.).

Fiori, R. (2006). Bona fides. Formazione, esecuzione e interpretazione del contratto nella tradizione civilistica (Parte prima). In: P. Rescigno, ed. *Modelli teorici e metodologici nella storia del diritto privato*. Volume 2. Naples: Jovene, pp. 127—228. (in It.).

Flume, W. (1992). *Allgemeiner Teil des bürgerlichen Rechts*. Band 2: Das Rechtsgeschäft. 4^{Aufl.} Berlin; Heidelberg: Springer. (in Germ.). DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-58112-0

Gabrielli, E. (1997). *Alea e rischio nel contratto*. Napoli: Edizioni scientifiche italiane. (in It.).

Gabrielli, E. (2012). *L'eccessiva onerosità sopravvenuta*. Estratto da “Trattato di diritto privato” diretto da Mario Bessone. Volume XIII. T. VIII. Torino: Giappichelli. (in It.).

Gordley, J. (1991). *The Philosophical Origins of Modern Contract Doctrine*. New York; Oxford: Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press.

Grotius, H. (1625). *De Iure Belli ac Pacis Libri Tres, In Quibus Ius Naturae et Gentium, Item Juris Publici Praecipua Explicantur*. Parisiis: Apud Nicolavm Bvon. [Rus. ed.: Grotius, H. (1956). *O prave voiny i mira: Tri knigi, v kotorykh ob»yasnyayutsya estestvennoe pravo i pravo narodov, a takzhe printsipy publicnogo prava*. Translated from Latin by A.L. Sakketti. Moscow: Gosyurizdat].

Heineccius, J.G. (1746). *Praelectiones academicae in Hugonis Grotii De iure belli et pacis*. Berolini: Rüdiger. (in Lat.).

Henssler, M. (1994). *Risiko als Vertragsgegenstand*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1994. (in Germ.).

Hondius, E. (2007). Les bases doctrinales du nouveau code néerlandais. In: C. Ophéle and P.Remy, eds. *Traditions savants et codifications. Colloque Poitiers 8, 9,10 septembre 2005*. Paris; Poitiers: LGDJ; University of Poitiers, pp. 257—272. (in Fr.).

Kegel, G., Rupp, H. and Zweigert, K. (1941). *Die Einwirkung des Krieges auf Verträge in der Rechtsprechung Deutschlands, Frankreichs, Englands und der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika*. Berlin: De Gruyter. (in Germ.).

Köbler, R. (1991). *Die “clausula rebus sic stantibus” als allgemeiner Rechtsgrundsatz*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck. (in Germ.).

Kopp, C. Ph. (1750). *Dissertatio inauguralis de clausula rebus sic stantibus secundum ius cum naturale tam civile*. Marbourg: Typis P.C. Mülleri. (in Lat.).

Larenz, K. (1963). *Geschäftsgrundlage und Vertragserfüllung*. München: C.H. Beck. 1963. (in Germ.).

Larenz, K. (1987). *Lehrbuch des Schuldrechts*. Bd. I. 14^{Aufl.} München: C.H. Beck. 1987. (in Germ.).

Leyser, A. (1741). *Meditationes ad Pandectas*. Bd. VII. Lipsiae: Apud Ioh.Christophorum Meisnerum. (in Lat.).

Lorenz, W. (1997). Contract Modification as a Result of Change of Circumstances. In: J. Beatson and D. Friedman, eds. *Good Faith and Fault in Contract Law*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, pp. 357—376. DOI: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198265788.003.0014

Luig, K. (1980). Richterkönigtum und Kadijurisprudenz im Zeitalter von Naturrecht und Usus Modernus: Augustinus Leyser (1683—1752). In: K. Luig and D. Liebsetlef, eds. *Das Profil des Juristen in der europäischen Tradition*. Symposium Franz Wieacker zum 70. Geburtstag. Ebelsbach: Gremer, pp. 295—333. (in Germ.)

Luig, K. (1994). Das Privatrecht im „Allgemeinen Landrecht für die preußischen Staaten“ von 1794. *Archiv für civilistische Praxis*, 194(6), pp. 521—542. (in Germ.).

Macario, F. (1996). *Adeguamento e rinegoziazione nei contratti a lungo termine*. Napoli: Jovene. (in It.).

Oertmann, P. (1921). *Die Geschäftsgrundlage: Ein neuer Rechtsbegriff*. Leipzig: Scholl. (in Germ.).

Osti, G. (1912). La così detta clausola “rebus sic stantibus” nel suo sviluppo storico. *Rivista di diritto civile*, 4, pp.1—58. (in It.).

Pfaff, L. (1974). Die Clausel: Rebus sic stantibus in der Doctrin und der österreichischen Gesetzgebung. In: *Festschrift zum 70. Geburtstag von J. Unger*. Aalen: Scientia, pp. 221—355. (in Germ.).

Philippe, D. (2012). France and Belgium. In: A.G. Castermans, K.J.O Jansen, M.W. Knigge, P. Memelink and J.H. Nieuwenhuis, eds. *Foreseen and Unforeseen Circumstances*. Deventer: Kluwer, pp. 157—171.

Philippe, D.-M. (1986). *Changement de circonstances et bouleversement de l'économie contractuelle*. Bruxelles: Bruylant. (in Fr.).

Pufendorf, S. (1672). *De iure naturae et gentium*. Lund: Londini Scanorum, Sumtibus Adami Junghans, Imprimebat Vitus Haberegger. (in Lat).

Ranieri, F. (2009). *Europäisches Obligationenrecht: Ein Handbuch mit Texten und Materialien*. 3rd ed. Wien; New York: Springer. (in Germ.).

Ripert, G. (1949). *La règle morale dans les obligations civiles*. 4^e éd. Paris: LGDJ. (in Fr.).

Rolli, R. (2008). *Causa in astratto e causa in concreto*. Padua: CEDAM. (in It.).

Rösler, H. (2003). Störung der Geschäftsgrundlage nach der Schuldrechtsreform. *Zeitschrift für das gesamte Schuldrecht*, (2), pp. 383—391. (in Germ.).

Rummel, M. (1991). *Die “clausula rebus sic stantibus”. Eine dogmengeschichtliche Untersuchung unter Berücksichtigung der Zeit von der Rezeption in 14. Jahrhundert bis zum jüngeren Usus Modernus in der ersten Hälfte des 18. Jahrhunderts*. Baden-Baden: Nomos. (in Germ.).

Schollmeyer, M. (2014). *Selbstverantwortung und Geschäftsgrundlage: Zurechnung und Haftung bei Geschäftsgrundlagentörungen gemäß Geschäftsgrundlage nach der Schuldrechtsreform Geschäftsgrundlage nach der Schuldrechtsreform § 313*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck. (in Germ.).

Simshäuser, W. (1972). Windscheids Voraussetzungslehre. *Archiv für civilistische Praxis*, 172(1), pp. 19—38. (in Germ.).

Thier, A. (2009). Legal History. In: E. Hondius and H.Ch. Grigoleit, eds. *Unexpected Circumstances in European Contract Law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 15—32. DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511763335.005

Wieacker, F. (1965). Gemeinschaftlicher Irrtum der Vertragspartner und clau-

sola rebus sic stantibus. In: *Festschrift zum 60. Geburtstag von Walter Wilburg*. Graz: Leykam, pp. 229—255. (in Germ.).

Wieacker, F. (1967). *Privatrechtsgeschichte der Neuzeit unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der deutschen Entwicklung*. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht. (in Germ.).

Wieling, H. (1985). Entwicklung und Dogmatik der Lehre von der Geschäftsgrundlage. *Jura - Juristische Ausbildung*, pp. 505—511. (in Germ.).

Wolff, Chr. (1750). *Institutiones iuris naturae et gentium*. Halae Magdeburgicae: Prostat in officina Rengeriana. (in Lat.).

Zweigert, K. Kötz, H. (1984). *Einführung in die Rechtsvergleichung auf dem Gebiete des Privatrechts*. 3 Auf. Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr. [Russ. ed.: Zweigert, K. and Kötz, H. (1998). *Vvedenie v sravnitel'noe pravovedenie v sfere chastnogo prava*. Vol. 2. Translated from German by Yu. M. Yumashev. Moscow: Mezhdunarodnye otnosheniya].

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Dmitry V. Dozhdev — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Professor, Dean of the Faculty of Law, Moscow School of Social and Economic Sciences.

CITATION:

Dozhdev, D.V. (2018). Adaptation and Rescission of Contract Due to the Fundamental Change of the Circumstances: European Civilian Tradition and Modern Trends. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(2), pp. 143—172.

MARIA M. GOTRA

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences

10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation

E-mail: mari.gotra@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0001-5512-1764

THE ADMISSIBILITY OF THIRD PARTIES PARTICIPATION IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS

Abstract. There is a mixed opinion on the admissibility of participation of third parties who do or do not declare independent claims regarding the subject of the dispute in insolvency court proceedings. Those who believe that third parties shall not participate in the arbitration procedure in bankruptcy cases ground themselves, first, on the fact that such participation is nowhere mentioned in the Arbitration Procedural Code of the Russian Federation and the Law on Bankruptcy and second, there is no title dispute in such proceedings. Adherents of the opposite point of view consider such participation permissible, since the list of persons participating in the arbitration proceeding in the bankruptcy case (established in the Federal Law “On Insolvency (Bankruptcy)”) is open. Judicial practice also lacks uniformity in resolving this issue.

The participation of third parties who declare or do not declare independent claims in arbitration court insolvency proceedings is permissible, in the author’s opinion, for the following reasons.

Within the framework of a bankruptcy case, separate title disputes can be considered (for example, collecting damages, bringing the manager to subsidiary responsibility, contesting transactions). When resolving such disputes, it is permissible to apply norms pertaining to regular claim proceedings. Consequently, the participation of third parties in the arbitration proceedings in the case of insolvency (bankruptcy) is possible in the manner provided for by Art. 50, 51 of the Arbitration Procedural Code of the Russian Federation. It is a mistake to exclude the participation of third parties in the arbitration court proceedings in cases of insolvency (bankruptcy) only on the grounds of the absence of direct indication in the laws. Uncertainty about the issue of the admissibility of third parties in the arbitration proceeding in the case of insolvency (bankruptcy) can be eliminated by completing Ch. III “The investigation of bankruptcy cases in arbitration court” of the Federal Law «On Insolvency (Bankruptcy)» with sections regarding resolution of separate disputes under the general procedure rules.

Keywords: arbitration proceeding, insolvency (bankruptcy), parties to the claim, separate disputes, title disputes, third parties filing independent claims regarding the dispute, third parties not filing independent claims regarding the dispute

REFERENCES

(2017) *Bankruptcy Law. Research Guides*. [online] Goodson Law Library Duke University School of Law. Available at: <https://law.duke.edu/sites/default/files/lib/bankruptcy.pdf> [Accessed 9 January

2018].

Abova, T.E. (2007). *Izbrannye trudy. Grazhdanskii i arbitrazhnyi protsess. Grazhdanskoe i khozyaistvennoe parvo* [The Selected Works. Civil and Arbitration Proceedings. Civil and Commercial Law]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Andreeva, T.K. ed. (2013). *Arbitrazhnyi protsessual'nyi kodeks Rossiiskoi Federatsii s postateinymi materialami sudebnoi praktiki i kommentariyami* [The Arbitration Procedural Code of the Russian Federation with Article-by-Article Case Law and Commentary]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Blazheev, V.V. and Uksusova, E.E. eds. (2015). *Grazhdanskii protsess: Uchebnik dlya bakalavrov* [Civil Proceeding: Textbook for Bachelors]. Moscow: Prospekt. (in Russ.).

Ershova, I.V. and En'kova, E.E. eds. (2016). *Bankrotstvo khozyaistvuyushchikh sub'ektov: Uchebnik dlya bakalavrov* [The Bankruptcy of Economic Entities: Textbook for Bachelors]. Moscow: Prospekt. (in Russ.).

Gureev, V.A. and Gushchin, V.V. eds. (2013). *Arbitrazhnyi protsessual'nyi kodeks Rossiiskoi Federatsii. Razdely I-II. Postateinyi nauchno-prakticheskii kommentarii* [The Arbitration Procedural Code of the Russian Federation. Sections I-II. Article-by-Article Scientific and Practical Commentary]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Lebedev, K.K. (2015). Osnovaniya i poryadok uchastiya v sudebnom razbitratel'stve tret'ikh lits, ne zayavlyayushchikh samostoyatel'nykh trebovaniy na predmet spora [Reasons and Procedure of Participation of Third Parties Not Filing Independent Claims Regarding the Dispute in Proceedings]. *Arbitrazhnye spory* [Arbitration Disputes], (4), pp. 113—132. (in Russ.).

Marsh, D.A. (2008). *Straightforward Guide to Bankruptcy Insolvency and the Law*. Brighton: Straightforward Publishing.

Matskevich, P.N. (2017). O deistvii svoistva preysuditsial'nosti sudebnogo resheniya pri rassmotrenii voprosov vklucheniya v reestr trebovaniy kreditorov v ramkakh proizvodstva po delu o bankrotstve [On the Effect of Prejudicialness of the Judgment when Considering the Inclusion into the Creditor's Claims Registrar in Bankruptcy Cases]. *Aktual'nye problemy rossiiskogo prava* [Actual Problems of Russian Law], (5), pp. 198—206. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.17803/1994-1471.2017.78.5.198-206

Navrotskaya, E.V. (2013). Nekotorye problemy opredeleniya protsessual'nogo polozheniya lits, uchastvuyushchikh v dele o bankrotstve [The Certain Problems of Definition of the Procedural Status of Persons Participating in a Bankruptcy Case]. *Arbitrazhnyi i grazhdanskii protsess* [Arbitration and Civil Proceedings], (5), pp. 26—31. (in Russ.).

Opalev, R.O. (2014). *Voprosy sudoproizvodstva v arbitrazhnykh sudakh: resheniya i argumenty, kotorymi mozhete vospol'zovat'sya i vy. Nauchno-prikladnoe posobie*. [Issues of Arbitration Proceedings: Decisions and Arguments that You Can Use. Scientific and Practical Manual]. 2nd ed. Moscow: Infotropik Media. (in Russ.).

Osokina, G.L. (2002). *Kurs grazhdanskogo sudoproizvodstva Rossii. Obshchaya chast': Uchebnoe posobie* [The Course of Civil Procedure in Russia. General Part: Textbook]. Moscow: Prospekt. (in Russ.).

General Part: Textbook]. Tomsk: Izdatel'stvo Tomskogo universiteta Publ. (in Russ.).

Popondopulo, V.F. and Slepchenko, E.V. (2004). *Proizvodstvo po delam o bankrotstve v arbitrazhnom sude* [Bankruptcy Proceedings in Arbitration Court]. Saint Petersburg.: Yuridicheskii tsentr. (in Russ.).

Sysoeva, O.V. (2013). Protsessual'nye osobennosti osparivaniya sdelok v dele o bankrotstve [Procedural Peculiarities of Transaction's Contesting in Bankruptcy Case]. *Arbitrazhnyi i grazhdanskii protsess* [Arbitrazh and Civil Procedure], (2), pp. 16—20. (in Russ.).

Tabb, C.J. (1995). The History of the Bankruptcy Laws in the United States. *American Bankruptcy Institute Law Review*, 3, pp. 5—51.

Treshcheva, E.A. (2009). *Sub'ekty arbitrazhnogo protsessa* [Subjects of the Arbitration Proceeding]. The Doctor of Legal Sciences Thesis. Moscow: Institute of State and Law of the Russian Academy of Sciences. (in Russ.).

Truba, A.N. (2012). Problemy sistematizatsii protsessual'nykh sub'ektov po delam o bankrotstve [Problems of Systematization of the Procedural Subjects in Bankruptcy Cases]. *Akademicheskii vestnik Tyumenskoi gosudarstvennoi akademii mirovoi ekonomiki, upravleniya i prava. Nauchno-analiticheskii zhurnal. Seriya "Pravo"* [Academic Bulletin of the Tyumen State Academy of World Economy, Management and Law. Scientific and Analytical Journal. Series "Law"], (1), pp. 102—107. (in Russ.).

Uksusova, E.E. (2009). Spetsial'nyi kharakter pravovogo regulirovaniya sudoproizvodstva po delam o nesostoyatel'nosti (bankrotstve) [Special Characteristics of Legal Regulation of Judicial Proceeding in Insolvency (Bankruptcy) Cases]. *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava* [Journal of Russian Law], (12), pp. 106—119. (in Russ.).

Vitryansky, V.V. (2016). *Reforma rossiiskogo grazhdanskogo zakonodatel'stva: promezhutochnye itogi* [Reform of Russian Civil Legislation: Interim Results.]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Vitryansky, V.V. ed. (2003). *Nauchno-prakticheskii kommentarii (postateinyi) k Federal'nomu zakonu «O nesostoyatel'nosti (bankrotstve)»* [Scientific and Practical Commentary (Article-by-Article) of the Federal Law On Insolvency (Bankruptcy)]. Moscow: Statut. (in Russ.).

Yakovets, A.V. (2016). *O rassmotrenii obosoblennyykh sporov v sluchae prekrashcheniya proizvodstva po delu o bankrotstve ili zavershenii konkursnogo proizvodstva i vneseniya v EGRYuL zapisi o likvidatsii dolzhnika* [Separate Disputes' Resolution in Case of Termination or Completion of Bankruptcy Proceeding and Inclusion of the Record on Debtor's Liquidation in the Unified State Register of Legal Entities]. *Arbitrazhnye spory* [Arbitration Disputes], (1), pp. 61—66. (in Russ.).

Yarkov, V.V. ed. (2010). *Arbitrazhnyi protsess: Uchebnik* [The Arbitration Proceeding: Textbook]. 4th ed. Moscow: Infotropik Media. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Maria M. Gotra — Post-Graduate Student of the Civil Law, Arbitration and Civil Proceedings Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Gotra, M.M. (2018). The Admissibility of Third Parties Participation in Bankruptcy Proceedings. Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS, 13(2), pp. 173—189.

ALEXANDER I. CHERKASOV

**DIRECT AND PARTICIPATORY DEMOCRACY
AS THE MEANS OF CITIZEN'S INVOLVEMENT
INTO THE LOCAL DECISION-MAKING**

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences
10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation

E-mail: aligorch@yandex.ru

ORCID: 0000-0001-7531-1020

Abstract. In the conditions of the crisis of representative democracy, breakdown of former social relations and growing technocratization of municipal government the voters' turnout is falling down and the people are gradually losing the interest in what is happening at the grass-roots level. The situation is aggravated by the crisis of local political parties. With consideration of the diminishing role of the class factor and increasing social mobility of individuals it's becoming harder for the parties to structure local politics and to mobilize electors. In this situation efforts are being taken to make the municipal system more attractive for citizens and to enlarge the forms of their participation in the local political life. The important role in this relation belongs to direct as well as to participatory democracy.

The institutes of direct democracy are interacting with the institutes of representative democracy in a peculiar way, sometimes complementing and cooperating and sometimes competing with them. A lot of connections are being made through political parties called upon to serve as a kind of a motor and a coordinator of the local democratic system. The forms of direct democracy sometimes are even used to stimulate the local electoral process and party mobilization. They offer additional institutional possibilities for different non-party groups as well.

Referendum is one of the most discussed institutes of direct democracy. There is a notion that with the help of referendum it's possible to regulate a particular matter in a more decisive way than by the representative process. But it's necessary that there should be clear referendum results, not rising any particular doubt. A narrow victory of supporters of a certain decision could only aggravate public contradictions and not facilitate their settlement. Besides, much less percentage of voters are taking part in referendums than in elections, and at referendums different segments of the population are represented in a less proportional way than at elections.

Representative democracy is often contrasted with participatory democracy. There are many different interests being active within modern local communities, and that's why it's already impossible to solve many problems confronted by these communities solely by way of elections. There is an understanding that the modern democracy should be interactive. So, government at the local level by way of traditional forms of representative democracy is increasingly supplemented by different mechanisms of consultations with the citizens.

Due to the mechanisms of participatory democracy the decision-making process at the municipal level is becoming more collegial, thus increasing the legitimacy of decisions taken. As a result a certain "social capital" is being accumulated. It's based on the trust appearing in relations between governmental bodies and civil society in the course of taking joint decisions at consensual basis. The volume of such social capital determines the free cooperation of different interest groups within the particular community. There is also a fear that participatory democracy could endanger the representative system and its basic principle of equality as well

as contribute to destabilization of local political parties.

Keywords: representative democracy, municipal government, local elections, political parties, referendum, citizen initiative, social capital, citizens' juries, participatory budgeting

REFERENCES

Berg, R. and Rao, N. (2005). *Transforming Local Political Leadership*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan. DOI: 10.1057/9780230501331

Best, E., Augustyn, M. and Lambermont, F. (2011). *Direct and Participatory Democracy at Grassroots Level: Levers for Forging EU Citizenship and Identity?* Maastricht: EIPA. DOI: 10.2863/63437

Bherer, L. (2010). Successful and Unsuccessful Participatory Arrangements: Why is there a Participatory Movement at the Local Level? *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 32(3), pp. 287-303. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9906.2010.00505.x

Blakeley, G. (2010). Governing Ourselves: Citizen Participation and Governance in Barcelona and Manchester. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 34(1), pp. 130-145. DOI: 10.1111/j.1468-2427.2010.00953.x

Chandler, J.A. (2007). *Explaining Local Government: Local Government in Britain since 1800*. Manchester: Manchester University Press. DOI: 10.7228/manchester/9780719067068.001.0001

Chandler, J.A. ed. (1993). *Local Government in Liberal Democracies: An Introductory Survey*. London: Routledge. DOI: doi.org/10.4324/9780203420591

Chisholm, M. (2000). *Structural Reform of British Local Government: Rhetoric and Reality*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.

Hambleton, R., Savitch, H.S. and Stewart, M. eds. (2003). *Globalism and Local Democracy: Challenge and Change in Europe and North America*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan. DOI: 10.1057/9780230502741_1

King, D. and Stoker, G. eds. (1996). *Rethinking Local Democracy*. London: Palgrave. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-349-24756-1

Leininger, A. (2015). Direct Democracy in Europe: Potentials and Pitfalls. *Global Policy*, 6(S1), pp.17-27. DOI: 10.1111/1758-5899.12224

Loughlin, J., Hendrix, F. and Lindström, A. eds. (2011). *The Oxford Handbook of Local and Regional Democracy in Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199562978.001.0001

Olsson, M. (2014). *Effects of Government-initiated Referendums: A Study of how Swedish Citizens Perceive Government-initiated Local Referendums*. Master's Thesis. Lund: Lund University Press.

Pateman, C. (1970). *Participation and Democratic Theory*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI: 10.1017/cbo9780511720444

Putnam, R.D., Leonardi, R. and Nanetti, R.Y. (1993). *Making the Democracy Work. Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton University Press: New Jersey. [Russ. ed.: Putnam, R.D. (1996). *Chtoby demokratiya srabotala. Grazhdanskie traditsii v sovremennoi Italii*. Translated from English by A. Zakharov. Moscow: Ad. Marginem].

Sancton A. and Young, R.A. eds. (2009). *Foundations of Governance: Municipal Government in Canada's Provinces*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Schiller, Th. (2018). Local Referendums: A Comparative Analyses. In: L. Morel and M. Qvortrup, eds. *The Routledge Handbook to Referendums and Direct Democracy*. Abingdon: Routledge, pp. 60—80. DOI: 10.4324/9780203713181

Schiller, Th. ed. (2011). *Local Direct Democracy in Europe*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-531-92898-2

Schumpeter, J. (2003). *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*. London: Routledge. DOI: 10.4324/9780203202050

Setälä, M. and Schiller, Th. eds. (2012). *Citizens' Initiative in Europe: Procedures and Consequences of Agenda-Setting by Citizens*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan. DOI: 10.1057/9780230369900

Sick, T.D. ed. (2001). *Democracy at the Local Level: The International IDEA Handbook on Participation, Representation, Conflict Management, and Governance*. Stockholm: International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance.

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Alexander I. Cherkasov — Candidate of Legal Sciences, Associate Professor, Leading Research Fellow of the Comparative Law Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Cherkasov, A.I. (2018). Direct and Participatory Democracy as the Means of Citizen's Involvement into the Local Decision-making. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN — Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(2), pp. 190—215.

VENIAMIN E. CHIRKIN

Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences

10, Znamenka str., Moscow 119019, Russian Federation

E-mail: vechirkin@yandex.ru

ORCID: 0000-0002-6471-9212

REVIEW

Cherepanov, V.E. (2018). *Problemy rossiiskoi gosudarstvennosti. Opyt sistemnogo issledovaniya [Problems of the Russian Statehood. Systematic Research Experience]*. Moscow: NORMA; INFRA-M. 336 p.

Abstract. The work of the Russian researcher V.A. Cherepanov is devoted to the creation of a paradigm for the systematic consideration of state and law, of the «constitutional and legal conceptual space». The author proceeds from the fact that some of the traditionally recognized elements of the state (territory, population, power) are only conditions for the existence of the state. The starting point of the study of statehood, in his opinion, should be the concept of the people. The author distinguishes between abstract and concrete people. Abstract people, according to V.A. Cherepanov, is a constitutional ideal in the structure of the Russian state, it is regarded as a legal personality, a legal entity, uniting the previous, current and future generations. In turn, concrete people as a socio-political community is the totality of citizens. Exploring the people as a structural unit of Russian statehood, the author, in solidarity with L.S. Mamut, considers the state as a publicly organized society, people organized in community provided with public authority, and not as a superstructure over society. Proceeding from the understanding of the people as a structural unit of the Russian statehood, the author singles out its two main subsystems: democracy and federal structure.

The development of statehood is rooted in contradictions, and the historical movement of our state appears as a process of emergence, deployment and removal of contradictions. The author outlines the following basic contradictions of the Russian statehood: between public authority and the people as its only source (this contradiction at times generates both the alienation of the people from power and the alienation of power from the people); between the Russian Federation and its subjects in the context of state unity and the separation of state power (at the present stage this leads to a super-centralization of power and the danger of turning a federal state into a unitary one).

The proposed understanding of the people and the state, as ideally constructed objects existing only in the constitutional and legal space, can be qualified as the maximum degree of abstraction. The world of proper and normative ideal is figuratively called by V.A. Cherepanov as “legal Idyllium” and is used to illustrate abstract legal constructs. Some elements of the author’s concept are clearly utopic. This applies both to the absolutizing of the unreal constructs of the “legal Idyllium” and to certain proposals that are not being implemented at the present stage of the development of our state, in particular, the transformation of the referendum into a permanent form of constituent power of the Russian people, and conclusion of a new Federal Treaty.

Keywords: state, people, public authority, democracy, federalism, representative democracy, direct democracy, electoral rights

REFERENCES

Gadzhiev, G.A. (2013). *Ontologiya prava (kriticheskoe issledovanie yuridicheskogo kontsepta deistvitel'nosti)* [The Ontology of Law: A Critical Research of the Legal Concept of Reality]. Moscow: NORMA; INFRA-M. (in Russ.).

Gadzhiev, G.A. (2011). O prostranstvenno-vremennóm myshlenii v konstitutsionnom prave (voprosy yuridicheskoi ontologii i aksiologii) [On Spatio-temporal Reasoning in Constitutional Law: The Issues of Legal Ontology and Axiology]. *Sravnitel'noe konstitutsionnoe obozrenie* [The Comparative Constitutional Review], (6), pp. 82—93. (in Russ.).

Mamut, L.S. (2000). Gosudarstvo kak publichnovlastnym obrazom organizovannyi narod [The State as People Organized in Community Provided with Public Authority]. *Zhurnal rossiiskogo prava* [Journal of Russian Law], (3), pp. 88—100. (in Russ.).

Cherepanov, V.A. (2018). *Problemy rossiiskoi gosudarstvennosti. Opyt sistemnogo issledovaniya* [Problems of the Russian Statehood. Systematic Research Experience]. Moscow: NORMA; INFRA-M. (in Russ.).

AUTHOR'S INFO:

Veniamin E. Chirkin — Doctor of Legal Sciences, Professor, Honored Lawyer of the Russian Federation, Honored Science Worker of the Russian Federation, Chief Research Fellow of the Comparative Law Department, Institute of State and Law, Russian Academy of Sciences.

CITATION:

Chirkin, V.E. (2018). Review: Cherepanov, V.A. Problemy rossiiskoi gosudarstvennosti. Opyt sistemnogo issledovaniya [Problems of the Russian Statehood. Systematic Research Experience]. Moscow: NORMA; INFRA-M, 2018. 336 p. *Trudy Instituta gosudarstva i prava RAN* — *Proceedings of the Institute of State and Law of the RAS*, 13(2), pp. 216—231.